

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_93674
Title of the Manuscript:	POLITICS OF TEACHER'S PROMOTION SYSTEM IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The need for using proper title and Word like "Politics" POLITICS OF TEACHER'S PROMOTION SYSTEM IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS. The academic meaning for the term politics is not related to in school interaction and promotion. The four thematic review about the school; <i>voluminous requirement, unjust selection, nepotism/ Padrino system and gender discrimination</i> are not the proper part to call the title Political. Politics is literary the activities associated with the governance of a region, province, country or area, especially the debate between parties having power. Therefore, it is better to use a word like 'appraisal', 'challenges and Prospects'.... "Fairness"..... This ll help to make article in academic style of writing rather than journalist way of writing . If in case the title is talking about political factors, better to rewrite the title like "The role of political views in public School teacher' Promotion"....	
Minor REVISION comments	In describing its finding, the researcher should keep the rule of paragraph to have two or more sentence with proper prepositions and discussed about a single idea.	
Optional/General comments	In analysing the reposes from samples it would have been better if the sample is larger for some code based quantitative description like % to identify the significance of factors affecting the school teacher's promotion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yared Teshome Geneti
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia