

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_95184
Title of the Manuscript:	Malnutrition Among Children Under Five Years in The Era Of COVID-19 Pandemic In Sub Sahara Africa: Causes and Impact
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is a very interesting and burning topic that the author has chosen. Globally, millions of people suffer from malnutrition. After reading the entire manuscript, I noticed the following point that needs to be addressed before publication:</p> <p>1. The paper lacks methodologically, as it does not explain how the studies were selected. What protocol the author followed is not clear. As a result, the author must clearly describe how the studies were selected and what protocol was used. Protocol for example, PRISMA etc. The entire methodology part need to be replaced.</p> <p>2. There are only seven studies selected by the author for analysis, and this number is not suitable for drawing any conclusions.</p> <p>3. Additionally, article's language needs to be improved.</p> <p>4. Certain recent studies must be explored to strengthen the arguments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need Improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Om Raj Katoch
Department, University & Country	Govt. Degree College, University of Jammu, India