

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_95019
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN SIRS, QSOFA, NEWS ANSD MEWS SCORE AS PREDICTORS FOR INHOSPITAL MORTALITY OF PATIENTS GETTING ADMITTED TO ICU OF A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes the topic is good and important for scientific community as it describes comparative methods of predicting the outcomes of patients who present to the hospital with suspected sepsis.</p> <p>It's OK.</p> <p>No. The abstract is to be written in one paragraph describing your whole work concisely. Please remove all the subsections in the abstract. Write the keywords separately just after the abstract and remove the "Background/Aims" in the abstract.</p> <p>Though the topic of the work is good but the manuscript is not written in a proper scientific manner. A lot of changes are required before it can be accepted for publication.</p> <p>The references are quite sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English is OK</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please try to write the manuscript in a proper manner. You should follow the sequence : Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, References. Please write the abbreviations after the abstract, Please give literature review of some past works with proper citation, the methodology is not clear and so it needs to be described in a detailed manner.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> NO	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Biswadi Basu Mallik
Department, University & Country	Institute of Engineering & Management, India