

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_95330
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Intense Pulse Light Versus fractional co2 laser in Treatment of inflammatory and non-inflammatory Acne Vulgaris Lesions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The subject of the manuscript is important for scientific community because acne vulgaris is common and important skin problem with different modality of treatment and no one is free from recurrences.</p> <p>2. The title need some changes, the suggestion is ((Assessment of Effectiveness of Intense Pulse Light Versus fractional co2 laser in Treatment of both stages of Acne Vulgaris)).</p> <p>3. To some extent, yes.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. To some extent, yes.</p> <p>6. There are may be 2 or more references old in the nineties, it is better to be changed.</p> <p>The discussion and conclusion need to be rewritten and re-arranged because they contain many inaccuracies and errors that have reached the point of talking about the laser device and drugs that are not covered by the subject of the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	To some extent, yes. But need some review.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haider Al-Sabak
Department, University & Country	College of Medicine, University of Kufa, Iraq