

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_95241
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping of Potential Variation of Diabetes Amelioration Activity of Spondias mombin on Alloxan-induced Diabetic Wister Rat Concerning the Availability of Phytochemical Constituents based on Seasonal Contrast Phenomenon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The manuscript describes about how the chemical constituents vary in different seasons and which may be used as source for collection of plant materials for a particular study Yes Yes Yes Yes References are not as per journal requirement i.e not uniform manner and grammar mistakes .	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durga Prasad Kemisetti
Department, University & Country	Assam Down Town University, India