

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_94830
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Tea Product Quality Control Using Fishbone Diagram Approach at PT Candi Loka, East Java, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Researcher(s) did a great work2. Table 3 does not present expected/standard output (or results) versus observed (measured) results nor what percentages are represented3. What parameters (e.g. protein content, heavy metal content, moisture, mycotoxins, calories, phytochemical profile, etc.) were used to determine the quality of tea?4. The Fishbone diagram does not contain sufficient arrow lines to show the direction of correlation between the factors that affect tea quality5. What quality parameters does each of the factors (man, material, environment, machine and methods) have effects on??6. Based on what parameters was <i>Pekoe</i> product of inferior quality to the others?7. Does poor crop yield affect the quality of tea products?	
Minor REVISION comments	References are poorly organised and difficult to review	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dafil, Raymond Panshak
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria