

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_95122
Title of the Manuscript:	MRI-based Brain Tumor Image Classification Using CNN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. I believe the manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. The title of the article is correct. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. An analysis of works [13]-[17] is carried out in paragraph 2 of the article "Related work". Please write the main disadvantages of the analyzed research works for solving the problem of MRI-based brain tumor image classification. 7. You will describe in more detail the first step of the working method, namely Image Chopping. What method is used to crop the dark edge of the image of the brain area or is it done manually? Can this stage of the working method be skipped or is it indispensable? 8. You will label the axes and mark the origin on Fig. 8 "Graph of accuracy of the proposed method" and on Fig. 9 "Graph of loss of the proposed method".	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hennadii Khudov
Department, University & Country	Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University, Ukraine