

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_94816
Title of the Manuscript:	GAME-THEORETICAL APPROACHES TO CYBER-CRIME MONITORING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Update the abstract Your research study have some issues	Missing methodology section, and you are proceeding to the proposed system, first you have to discuss method you are using then explain your proposed system and what uniqueness in your proposed system. Mainly, you should not directly placed your proposed system, first you should have to discuss the previous system, and their limitation, then proposed your system and compare with the old one. Update the abstract by explain that what you are going to achieve from this research study. Need more intext citations to justify your research works. Add some graphical illustration in introduction Again your conclusion is not realistic, not illustrating what you are going to achieve. Add recommendation Limitations of the study	
Minor REVISION comments Add keywords after the abstract paragraph	Keywords is the reflection of your study that what you are mainly focusing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qanar Atta UI Haq
Department, University & Country	IUB, Pakistan