

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_92015
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF BROILER FED DIETS CONTAINING GRADED LEVEL OF CASSAVA ( <i>Manihot esculentus</i> ) FLOUR MEAL AT STARTER PHASE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The English language translation should be improved throughout the text.</p> <p>There is a big flaw in the design of the experiment, because the costs of each of the diets were not taken into account, by incorporating a raw material, which surely impacted the costs of the food in each of the treatments.</p> <p>The inclusion of cassava, in the proportions in which it was made, generates an imbalance in the nutritional content, which was reflected in the results of the experiment, but these effects are not analyzed in the manuscript. The interpretation of the results and conclusions are not correct.</p> <p>Provide the composition of the ingredients used to verify the composition of table 1. Such a large variation in food intake denotes an imbalance in the composition or in the presentation of the food of each treatment, which the manuscript has not considered for explain the observed results.</p> <p>The feed conversion in any of the treatments is not good and is a clear indication that the experiment was not well designed from the beginning and therefore the results were not good.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Units must be placed in some table parameters.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Jose Edmundo Apráez Guerrero</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Universidad de Nariño, Colombia</b>