

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_95027
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative anatomical study on the hand of some mammalian species and human
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 Manuscript Importance:- <i>Over all manuscript is good and informative. It is important to scientific community but how it will beneficial that author forget to mention. BUT author has not properly drafted the manuscript. Many mistakes in the name of the structures and also many mistakes in the titles of images and their labelling. And references need to be revised.</i></p> <p>2 Title : Instead of mammalian species author has to mention two species in the title as they have compare only baboon and squirrel with human. “Comparative anatomy of hand in baboon, squirrel and human”</p> <p>3 Abstract: YES</p> <p>4 Subsections & Structure: <i>Reference and their citation not proper mentioned as review comment in manuscript. In Introduction author should mention how their study helpful. Many typo or spelling mistakes or incomplete names of muscles written in whole manuscript. Conclusion heading is missing. Limitation and further research scope should be mentioned.</i></p> <p>5 Scientific: YES</p> <p>6 References: <i>Few Recent references should be added. Latest references is from 2018 only.</i></p> <p>OTHER COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • References are not Properly cited in the text. E.g. in superscript or in brackets as per author guideline. Please follow author guidelines for how to cite the references in the manuscript. • Author has wrongly mentioned dorsum of hand as back of palm. They should use proper terminology in text and images. • Most of the images self-explanatory titles are not appropriate, e.g. some mentioned dorsal view instead of ventral, many have typos in labelling or wrongly label the structures. REVISE LABELLING AND SELF EXPLANATORY TITLES OF ALL THE IMAGES. • In Image 7 labelling are wrong. • Many mistakes in the name of the structures. • References are not written as per Vancouver style and not even numbered. it will be easier to cite in the manuscript. ALL THE REFERENCES SHOULD BE REVISED. • References should be mentioned and numbered as they came in the manuscript. KINDLY VIEW REVIEW COMMENTS MENTIONED IN MANUSCRIPT FILES FOR DETAILS. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Syntax, grammatical and typo/spelling / spacing errors need to be corrected along whole article.</i> • <i>Language for writing manuscript is not appropriate. Needs improvement.</i> 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hitesh Kumar M. Chauhan
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, Maharaja Krishnakumarsinhji Bhavnagar University, India