

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_94962
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF ENDEMIC FLORA DIVERSITY OF NORTH SULAWESI AS A POTENTIAL FOR SUSTAINABLE ECOTOURISM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community because this type of studies are important for conservation.</b></p> <p>2. <b>It is important to add Indonesia to the title, even though it is unclear in the text which species are endemic to the area of study.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Most of the observations and suggestions are marked in the text. Nevertheless, it is important to add the authors of the species and take care in the numerations of figures.</p> <p>Also, it could be interesting to add photographs of some species, at least for <i>Homalium celebicum</i> and <i>Pterocarpum celebicum</i> or those endemics.</p> <p>In Conclusions it is important to add the number of species for each Family and to mention which species are endemic to the area of study, so that it goes according to the title.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> NO	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramiro Cruz Durán</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional Autónoma De México, México</b>