

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_95442
Title of the Manuscript:	Service utilization and client satisfaction with quality of healthcare among enrollees of national health insurance attending a tertiary hospital in south-western Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, because National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS) in Nigeria, is one of the good schemes which is useful to cut the rising cost of healthcare, and the study found that NHIS enrolment enhanced service utilization by 88.1% among respondents and majority of the respondents were satisfied with perceived quality of care	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It could have been still better	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This study will help further such studies	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S J B Bokhari
Department, University & Country	Govt. Nizamia Tibbi College, India