

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_95442
Title of the Manuscript:	Service utilization and client satisfaction with quality of healthcare among enrollees of national health insurance attending a tertiary hospital in south-western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write down the objectives in abstract 2. It's a good effort, add rationale of the study in at the end of the introduction 3. Title of the study is too long need modification 4. Add duration of the study and write the crisp conclusion 5. Good structure 6. Scientifically correct with few modification 7. Need to add references from last 5 years. Avoid to give book reference 	
<p>Minor REVISION comment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Try to structure the methodology	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Slight modification required as mentioned in comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hina Khan
Department, University & Country	Isra University Karachi Campus, Pakistan