

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_94975
Title of the Manuscript:	Animal Models for the Assessment of Antidiabetic Activity
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b>, this manuscript has clearly explained some models on antidiabetic activity. New researcher on diabetics will understand how different chemicals induce diabetic ( diabetogenic agents). Also, a reader of this paper will briefly learn other factors that cause diabetics.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>yes</b></p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No, recent references are not seen ( Between 2011 to 2022 period ). Additional references of last ten years should be mentioned.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Uniform or standard reference writing ( like APA style) should be followed . ( for example , compare this two references in this paper</p> <p>Klin. Farmakol. 72 (5) (2008) 31–34.</p> <p>. FASEB J 1990; 4: 2850-9.)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pankaj Kalita</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Arya Vidyapeeth College, India</b>