

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_95441
Title of the Manuscript:	"STUDY OF QTc INTERVAL PROLONGATION IN DIAGNOSED CASES OF DIABETIC KETOACIDOSIS WITH REFERENCE TO ELECTROLYTE IMBALANCES"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for Scientific community 2. Yes Title is suitable for the article 3. Yes abstract is comprehensive 4. Yes structure is appropriate 5. Yes , manuscript is scientifically correct 6. Recent references should be added within 5 years	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	Nicely structured article, very well written,	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supriya Prashant Satpute
Department, University & Country	Sri Aurobindo Medical College & PG Institute, Sri Aurobindo University, India