

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_95206
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Centella Asiatica Administration on NT-3 and CDKN2A Levels in Adult Rat Brains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The brain homogenate was stored at -80°C until it was time to measure NT-3 and CDKN2A using the ELISA method- mention the time after which NT 3 and CDKN2A were measured.</p> <p>Explain the method of extraction of CA.</p> <p>material and methods / experimental details / methodology- explain it in detail with more clarity.</p> <p>No references mentioned for- material and methods / experimental details / methodology</p> <p>References are not latest</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The report from the Data and Information Center of the Ministry of Health (Infodatin 2014) regarding the situation and Analysis of the Elderly shows that the life expectancy of the Indonesian population in 2015-2020 is 71,7 years.[1] If there is an increase in life expectancy but it is not healthy, it will cause an increase in the number of state dependents. These state costs can be jointly reduced if we succeed in improving the quality of life of senior citizens. Grammatically reframe the yellow highlighted sentences.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Grammatically reframe the whole article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Venkataraman N
Department, University & Country	Karnataka College of Pharmacy, India