

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_93041
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study Between Covid-19 Positive Versus Suspected Patients: A Single-Center Study in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The current study is: statistical study.</p> <p>The aim of the paper is to perform a comparative study between covid-19 positive versus suspected patients. The authors design a statistical study to compare the demographic findings like age, gender as well as body-weights of Covid-19 suspected and positive group patients.</p> <p>The paper is well written, with clear objectives. The topic is an important subject and it is a potentially important paper. However, I have the following comments for revision consideration:</p> <p>Introduction section need more references and comparative study between the proposal and the related works.</p> <p>In the methodology section, the authors say: "In total 126 suspected...", then "After confirming 16 Covid-19 positive cases among all the participants...". It is not clear if the 16 confirmed cases belong to the total of 126 patients or the 16 patients are not part of the total (126 cases) as mentioned in the result section (see table 2).</p> <p>As mentioned by the authors the sample is small to confirm the obtained results. Moreover, the authors used just correlation test to explain the relationship between variables. However, it will better to study to perform more statistical analysis to defend the proposal.</p> <p>Figure 1 does not illustrates properly the data presented in table1.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatima- Zohra YOUNSI
Department, University & Country	University of Oran 1 Ahmed Ben Bella, Algeria