

*Review Article*

**MUSEALISATION OF TIBET: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE POTALA PALACE**

**Abstract**

Tibet is a controversial topic of discussion in relation to ethnography, culture and politics. The conceptions of Tibet were (re)constructed by various agencies with complex and intertwined motivations. Being one of these strategic agencies, musealisation has contributed significantly to the (mis)representation of Tibet. The Potala Palace has been one of the most crucial catalysts in shaping the imagination of Tibet. In 1999, the Tibet Museum was established near the Potala Palace, whereby a significant number of artefacts were relocated into the new Tibet Museum. This gesture transformed the Potala Palace from a historical religious site into a hybrid institution.

This paper intends to highlight specifically the establishment of the Potala Palace, unpacking controversial terms such as “Shangri-La” and “Tibetophilia”, which resulted in accelerating the interest to musealise Tibet. Furthermore, it is to initiate a critical discourse for the (mis)representation of Tibet through the institutionalised and internalised mechanisms of musealisation.

Keywords: *Tibet, Potala Palace, Musealisation, Postcolonialism, Ethnography, (Mis)Representation of Tibet*

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1 S.n. (1889). *Exposition Universelle de 1889 Habitation d’Afrique Centrale*[France Exposition 1889 Houses in Central Africa] [Photograph]. National Gallery of Art. [https://library.nga.gov/permalink/01NGA\\_INST/1p5jkvq/alma99115158380489617](https://library.nga.gov/permalink/01NGA_INST/1p5jkvq/alma99115158380489617). Retrieved on 19 Aug 2020.
- Figure 1.2 Dorsa. (2018). Alice Kandell, surrounded by her collection of 17th- to 19th-century Buddhist art [Photography]. New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/12/05/arts/design/alice-kandell-show-us-your-wall.html>. Retrieved on 20 Aug 2020.
- Figure 2.1 Naples. (1559). *Ferrante Imperato’s Dell’Historia Naturale* [Illustration]. Wikimedia. <https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:RitrattoMuseoFerranteImperato.jpg>. Retrieved on 19 Jan 2021.
- Figure 2.2 Hellier, C. (1904). *In Lhasa the Dalai Lama Flees the British Expedition to Tibet* [Illustration]. Getty Images. <https://www.gettyimages.com/detail/news-photo/in-lhasa-the-dalai-lama-flees-the-british-expedition-to-news-photo/527091814>. Retrieved on 29 Jan 2021.
- Figure 3.1 Grueber, J. (1651). *Depiction of Potala Palace* [Illustration]. Agefotostock. <https://www.agefotostock.com/age/en/details-photo/the-potala-palace-in-lhasa-tibet-as-seen-and-depicted-by-the-austrian-jesuit-johann-grueber-who-had-been-there-in-1651-engraving-from-la-chine-1660/DAE-10148033>. Retrieved on 20 Feb 2021.
- Figure 3.2 Amenhotep III. (1905). *O’Connor and domestic staff at Gyantse in 1905* [Photograph]. Wikimedia. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:O%27ConnorGyantse1905.png>. Retrieved on 18 Jan 2021.
- Figure 3.3 Wulsin, F. (1923). *Buddhist ritual at Choni, Gansu, China photography. Collection, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology* [Photograph]. In Adolphson, M. S. *Treasures of the Yenching: Seventy-fifth Anniversary of the Harvard-Yenching Library: Exhibition Catalogue* (No. 1). Chinese University Press, 2003.
- Figure 3.4 N.a (1936). *Theos Bernard and Tibetans, 1936, from Penthouse of the Gods*. [Photograph]. In Kunimoto, N. *Traveler-as-Lama photography*

*and the fantasy of transformation in Tibet*. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Publishing, University of Michigan Library. 2011.

- Figure 3.5 Lowell, T. (1944). *Lowell Thomas: Tibet Lecture* [Handprinted Photo Documentation]. Tibet Film Archive.  
<https://www.tibetfilmarchive.org/filter/1944/Lowell-Thomas-Tibet-Lecture>. Retrieved on 14 March 2021.
- Figure 3.6 Wang. (1995). *Mural of Fifth Dalai Lama making obeisance to Ching Dynasty Emperor Shun Chih* [Photographics on Postal card]. s.n. Chinamaxx. <http://www.chinamaxx.net>. Retrieved on 2 Jan 2021.
- Figure 3.7 Wang. (1995). *Clay Carving Princess Wencheng in Potala Palace* [Photography on Postal card]. s.n. Chinamaxx. <http://www.chinamaxx.net/>. Retrieved on 08 April 2021.
- Figure 3.8 Wooser, T. (2020). *Tibetan Civilians' Punishment during Cultural Revolution* [Photograph]. p. 20. Retrieved on 24 Jan 2021.
- Figure 3.9 Lee, P. (2001). *Potala Pano* [Photograph]. Flickr Daily Travel Photo. <https://www.flickr.com/photos/dailytravelphotos/5135234800>. Retrieved on 19 Dec 2020.
- Figure 3.10 Weber, M. (2010). *50 Chnesische Yuan* [Photograph]. Alamy. <https://www.alamy.com/stock-photo-50-chinese-yuan-renminbi-the-currency-of-the-peoples-republic-of-china-34096210.html>. Retrieved on 19 September 2020.

## Introduction

Musealisation refers to the process of detachment and removal of an object from its original context, relocating to an exhibition, museum-like manner or environment (Osterlund, 2013, p.2). This thesis re-evaluates the interconnected dynamics of the Potala Palace and the Tibet Museum in Lhasa, through historical and literature references of both establishments, analysing how these two institutions as musealisation apparatus have contributed to the (mis)representations of mystified, exoticized and fabricated Tibetan culture.

Located alongside the Tibet Museum in the capital city of Tibet<sup>1</sup>, Lhasa, The Potala Palace was a traditional-style fortress that used to be the Winter Palace of the Dalai Lama from 1649 to 1959 (Harris, 2012, p. 4). It was recognised as The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO, 2000) in the World Heritage List in 1994, right after 1999 when the Chinese government had decided to establish the Tibet Museum (He, 2012, p.32). The Tibet Museum was established to mark the monumental event of the '40<sup>th</sup> year of Economic Reform' in Tibet. The fact that this was an important event, the name of the Museum was inscribed by Chinese leader back then the General Secretary Mr Jiang Zemin (Huang, 2000, p.27). Whereas, the Potala Palace as a legitimate heritage institution has secularized from previously a respected Tibetan Buddhism religious site into a hybrid institution. It functions now as a tourism attraction, heritage icon of feudalistic past, symbol of multiculturalism and multiracialism. Ironically, the Potala Palace still practices Buddhism onsite, such as the annual Tibetan Exorcising Evil Festival which happens on 29<sup>th</sup> day of the Tibetan calendar's 12<sup>th</sup> month, that is highly regarded across all the Tibetan Buddhism monasteries (Embassy of P.R.C, 2006). On the contrary, the new Tibet Museum positioned as a secular institution derived its collections of artefact mostly from the Potala Palace, visioned to be the first comprehensive and modern museum in the Tibet Autonomous Region (TAR) of China (Harris, 2012, p. 183). This paper intends to compare and therefore address the reasons behind the ideological displacements and similarities from these two institutions, such as the historical provenance, curatorial strategies, narratives, objectives, collections, and displays of artefacts. By evaluating various aspects of these two institutions, so as to achieve a better understanding of the interlinked dynamics and complexity of many constructed imaginaries of Tibetan culture.

Tibet is stereotypically represented as two sets of contradicting conceptualised images. The first image is an unfolded legendary renowned Shangri-La paradise an epicentre of Buddhism (Bishop, 1989, p. 216). Whereas the second is a pejorative image as inferior,

---

<sup>1</sup> Tibet in this paper refers to both the cultural and geographical concept of a region that covers Tibetan Plateau in East Asia and Tibet Autonomous Region in People's Republic of China (Wittke, 2010).

primitive, dangerous, and repugnant nation (p. 216). However, these two representations may not be entirely opposed with each another, but rather stand in a dialectical relationship. This is due to the fact that both of Western and Chinese imperialist perceptions as the constant manipulator (Nyman, 1983, p.101) of constructing the on-going myths and fictional Tibet. This can be traced back from the Western colonial history, as well as the ideological and political shift after the 1950's war between China and Tibet (Harris, 2013, p.64). Subsequently, Chinese Communist Party (CCP) implemented nationalistic approach in shaping and addressing Tibetan as an 'unquestionable' minority race of Chinese and a valuable name card to the world.

Both of these two institutions rely on musealisation as strategies to represent Tibetan ethnics. This has been accelerated by the advancement of inventions of open-seas navigation in the 15th century, such as the compass which had also ignited the Age of Exploration, leading Europeans and Americans to start expeditions into Africa and Asia (Swanick, 2006, p.4). The foreign 'treasures', including objects, animals, plants and even humans found in these 'other' regions, were collected back as exotic triumphant items. With the increasing trend of exhibiting such exotic collections such as reconstructing "ethnographic villages" in the colonial fair, native people were captured to perform in the replicated native village for the exhibition fair duration (Corbey, 1993, p.339).



Figure 1.1 *Exposition Universelle de 1889 Habitation d'Afrique Centrale*[France Exposition 1889 Houses in Central Africa] (S.n,1889).

A well-known example of such ethnographic representation is the 1889 Paris Universal Exposition, curated by American Otis T. Mason from the Smithsonian Bureau of American Ethnology. The exposition reconstructed different living habitats of Africa, Egypt and many other non-Europeans (Corbey, 1993, p.339). This continuous development had led to Ethnography as a specialism that was separated from the field of Anthropology, which systematically studies the individual culture (Dictionary, 2021). Due to the remote location and fabricated myths, Tibet became the

most sought-after ethnographic subject matter which was predominantly based on the Western colonial lineage.

This dissertation intended to comprehensively examine the establishment of Potala Palace and how it produced the ‘constructed’ Tibetan culture cognitively. This is specifically focused on the historical, ethnographic, and cultural (mis)representations of Tibet, as well as to unpack the dynamics and rationales of the musealisation strategies anchored by these two institutions.

### **1.1 The Rise of Tibetan Shrine Museums**

In the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, British Museum had acquired many collections of Tibetan materials, mostly are the objects collected from the expedition led by British Captain Younghusband to Tibet during 1903-1904 (Harris, 2012, p.17). This rising interest for the culture of Tibet has been accelerated by explorers like Captain Younghusband and many others from the West in the early 19<sup>th</sup> century (p. 17). They collected, studied and categorized the materials as valuable ‘art’ or exotic ‘antiques’ through their perceptions. Thereafter, these objects soon entered the art markets in large quantities to personal collectors, which initiated the beginning of future museum collections. Following the discussions of the appropriate ways of displaying these ‘exotic’ artefacts, a more common approach is to re-enact the shrine ambience as the authentic setting of where and how these religious objects used to be placed. This trend of building Tibetan shrines to house the material objects was adopted subsequently by individual enthusiasts and museum curators

The idea of the “Roof of the World”<sup>2</sup> became a continually increasing part of the political expansion in all sorts of ways in the nineteenth to the twentieth century, from government institutions, anthropologists, curious explorers, to museum establishers. Due to these political revivals and competitions, Tibet material cultures were taken away and seen as a trophy asset to claim their positions in the political competition globally. These Tibetan objects are represented and interpreted by the non-Tibetans without being accessible to Tibet for thorough understanding. Tibetan diasporas, especially after the Chinese war with Tibet in 1959, have begun to participate reflexively in making their own culture abroad on a global scale. despite the fact that Tibetans who live overseas are restricted to have close connection with Tibet when the Chinese government took sovereignty over. The Tibet Museum leveraged on this opportunity to further reconstruct the narratives of Tibetan culture to Chinese interest, which resulted in commodifying and dehistoricizing Tibet through musealisation

---

<sup>2</sup> The ‘Roof of the world’ or ‘Top of the world’ refers to the high region in the world, such as Himalayas, the Tibet and the Altai Mountains. First used by British explorer John Wood in 1838, described in presumably Wakhi, *Bam-i-Duniah* (Roof of the World) as a "native expression" (Keay, 1977).



Figure 1.2 Alice Kandell, surrounded by her collection of 17th to 19th-century Buddhist Art (Dorsa, 2018)

Tibetan Buddhism as part of the New Age Movement<sup>3</sup> (1970s) had led to shrines displays in museums (Loos, 2018). In New York City, Rubin Museum of Art housed numerous artefacts inclusive of books, paintings and textiles from the Himalayas regions, including Nepal, Bhutan, India, China, Mongolia and Tibet. These collections from the museum were assembled by Tibet-enthusiasts, as there were not many well-established Tibetan studies (2018) Such example would be Alice S. Kandell, who had great passion for collecting Tibetan Buddhist art (Fig. 1.2), inspired by her visits to the shrines. As a result, she had made own personal shrine at home and helped to design other shrines in museums (2018). These types of personal interest had later set the trend that expands vastly to other major museums globally. One of the key characteristics of these reconstructed shrines, consists of dimmed ambience to imitate the semi-dark light quality to that of butter lamps in traditional monasteries (2018). Some of the shrines in museums were even treated as religious practicing site and consecrated by senior Buddhist clerics, such as the Newark Museum had invited 14th Dalai Lama for a consecration ceremony (Harris, 2012, p. 13). Be it the government or individuals like Tibetan diasporas or enthusiasts have the privilege throughout in the history to be in a position of power and authority, to construct various images of Tibet (Bruner, 1994, p. 408).

## 1.2 A Note on Terminology- Tibetophilia

'Tibetophilia' is a term mentioned by Clare Harris (2012, p.127) in her book "*The Museum on the Roof of the World: Art, Politics, and the Representation of Tibet*":

---

<sup>3</sup> The New Age Movement is a difficult term to define, in this paper it refers to the description from sociologists, that it was a new religious and spiritual movement rapidly developed in the Western world during the 1970s (Kemp, 2004).

“It is referring to the notion of well-to-do westerners trying to escape what they see as soulless modernity by running off to a fantasy paradise. They want to keep Tibet as their museum, to preserve it in cultural formaldehyde, to freeze it in time” (Harris, 2012, p. 127)

The term views Tibetan culture as the unsullied alternatives to existing Western lifestyles that circled around the material satisfactions. Since the 1960s, understandings of Asia had gradually become more known to the Western world after the World War II. In 1965, the National Origins Quota System<sup>4</sup> is eradicated by the United States Immigration Act, this had allowed more racial freedom which led to the rise of Buddhism centres established by pioneering Tibetans in the country (Morreale, 1988, p. 20), by the end of 1980, there are 184 of such centres founded for learning and meditation (p. 20). This continuous trend was also a by-product of the New Age Movement formed during the period of social chaos, there was a demand for alternative countercultures to replace the mainstream American way of concepts and practices. Tibetan Buddhism was one of that alternative, which was the Eastern mysticism combined with Native American spirituality (Shakya, 1996, p.178). In a 1978 interview in the quasi-New Age magazine *Parabola*, a Tibetan scholar noted a side effect behind Tibetan Buddhism’s mystery nature, many western students did not go deep enough into studying the tradition of Tibetan Buddhism (p. 80). Despite the lack of comprehensive studies of Tibet in 1970s, the religious practices of Tibet were generalized and promoted by the amateur Tibetan enthusiasts. Tibetophilia became of the key contributing factor for commodification and exploitation of Tibetan culture. It had sustained the ongoing mystified image of Shangri-La across the world, which brought attention from the Chinese Government to narrate its political message based on these fantasies of Tibetophilia,

---

<sup>4</sup> The U.S National Origins Quota System refers to the quota provided immigration visas to two percent of the total number of people of each nationality, starting in year 1890, it entirely excluded immigrants from Asia (Office of The Historian USA, N.d)

## Literature Review

### 2.1 From Cabinet of Curiosities to Ethnographic Museums

The answers to understand the musealised constructions and representations of Tibetan culture have to be traced back into the historical contexts. Tibetan among many other minority ethnic groups had its culture manipulated by musealisation, where objects are transformed from its functional state into examined subjects housed in museums or museum-like mechanism (Maranda, 2009, p.253). It is crucial to understand the origins of the museum conception, especially on the methods of maneuvering culture by an ethnographic museum. There is an indivisible connection between the history of museum and musealisation as an apparatus derived from this institution, this paper relied considerably on (1) ‘*Museums in motion: An introduction to the history and functions of museums*’ (Alexander *et al.*,2017), (2) ‘*Wondrous Difference: Cinema, Anthropology, and Turn-of-the-Century Visual Culture*’ (Griffiths, 2001); and (3) ‘*Science, magic and religion: the ritual processes of museum magic*’ (Bouquet & Porto, 2006). A museum is defined as an institution that cares for a collection of artefacts and other important “artistic, cultural, historical, or scientific objects” (Alexander, 2017, p.73). The purpose of a museum varies over time, ranging from housing private collections to general platform for public education. Historically, early museums in the 16th century are primarily built by private wealthy collectors, often known as Cabinets of Curiosities. The famous example is Ferrante Imperato’s own Cabinets of Curiosities (Fig. 2.1), his collections consisted vast variety of objects from “nature, geology, ethnography, archaeology, religion or historical relics, works of art, and antiques” (p. 74).



Figure 2.1 Engraving from Ferrante Imperato’s, *Dell’Historia Naturale* (Naples,1559).

In the 16th century, the French, Dutch, Spanish, Portuguese and British had begun the colonization towards Asia and Africa mainly (p.160). In the 17th -19th century, the enthusiasm and fanaticism of private collectors had expanded beyond just rare objects, native human beings were captured as trophies. They were regularly exhibited in small

family groups and performed for European audiences at Colonial Fairs around European cities (Griffiths, 2001, p.160). The indigenous people were forced into traveling dime museums and circuses as unusual ethnographic wonders (p. 160). Historian Robert Rydell (2013) once argued,

“Native people are perceived no differently to commodities, as “natural resources to be exploited as readily as mineral deposits” (Rydell, 2013, p. 202).

The first reconstructed exhibitory “ethnographic village” with native performer happened during the 1889 Paris Exposition fair (Griffiths, 2001, p.160). These native people were seen as ‘entertainment’, as well as display trophies to demonstrate the dominance of European colonial power over the colonized regions. In addition, American had also adopted the similar colonial mentality. Otis T. Mason who was the curator for the Smithsonian Bureau of American Ethnology, commented that he would adopt the village display for the World’s Columbian Exposition in 1893. Africans with other oriental people like Javanese and Japanese were living in the newly constructed native houses, wearing their native costumes and ‘performed’ their daily life to the ‘civilized’ European audience (Bouquet & Porto, 2006). In 1917, Federic A. Lucas who was the director of American Museum of natural history (AMNH), he had realised that such exhibition of native display was a valuable token to compete with other museums and amusements in the city. With the intention of get more audience, he transformed the AMNH into a major ethnographic institution (Griffiths, 2001, p.160). Natural elements was brought into the museum as an enclosed, miniature of narrated exhibits of the reality. That included the reconstruction of indigenous camps or homes, which was described as especially popular and entertaining by children to imagine them as little ‘doll people’ (p. 160). These colonial legacies of seeking ethnographic content had lingered till the British expeditions to Tibet in 1903 (Fig. 2.2). Officer Younghusband had led the expedition to achieve more ‘exotic’ treasures as British triumphant, and he had categorized these findings into an intelligence report, simply based on his own judgment of what could be considered ‘valuable’ (French, 2016, p.22). The personal classifications by Younghusband were based on the existing colonial mentality of segregating the unusual ‘Others’ in comparison with the supposed ‘standard’ measured by the Euro-American colonizer then.




Figure 2.2 *In Lhasa the Dalai Lama Flees the British Expedition to Tibet* (Hellier, 1904)

It is evidently that the notion and origin of the museum was heavily embedded with colonialism. Musealisation as an apparatus that has to be traced back to the fundamental function and notion of museum. The Chinese government has leveraged by the notion of museum to musealise the Tibetan culture. It is based on manoeuvring the general perception of museum as an authoritative institution, that was connotated with the colonial history of museum establishments. Example such as in the Tibet Museum, the similar concept in different context of ‘exoticizing’ other culture is demonstrated by the placement of human effigies dressed up in Tibetan costumes in sterile glass cabinets (Fig. 5.15). The museum visitors in these cases, are standing in a ‘privileged’ position gazing and scrutinizing at these musealized ‘collections. The concept of colonial superiority rooted within the notion of museum had been repackaged by the CCP after decades, it has evolved into musealisation apparatus utilised by the authority in reimagining and representing on behalf of Tibetans.

## 2.2 (Re)presentation of Tibetan Buddhism

The Potala Palace revolves around Tibetan Buddhism inevitably, Tibet and Tibetans were represented exotically through the religious lens. This chapter relied on the review of (1) journal article ‘*Exhibiting the Exotic, Simulating the Sacred: Tibetan Shrines at British and American Museums*’ (Clark, 2016), (2) the book ‘*Prisoners of Shangri-la: Tibetan Buddhism and the west*’ (Lopez, 2018). One of the most distinct examples is the rise of shrine displays in museums, the exhibition objects were displayed on Buddhist altar (*Chosham*) or shrine room (*Chokang*) in secular museums (Clark, 2016, p. 43). Museumgoers are allowed to make offerings to the statues and circumambulating the architecture for prostrations (p. 43). These supposedly highly regarded religious settings are reenacted as miniature Buddhist shrines under the museum roof, so as to recreate an immersive cultural spectacle for the visitors. The musealisation acted upon not just objects but the entire temple ambience, it has generalized the Tibetan shrines as a visual commodity and props for commercial

purposes. Although the artefacts in such museum shrine might not offend all the Buddhists, due to the fact of ambiguity when classifying a religious object. Oftentimes, an object is recognized as religious after consecration was performed by Buddhist clerics, this is commonly applying to Buddha statues and Tangka (Bentor, 1996, p. 292). Thus, a common ground had reached among the debate of museum display, researchers, enthusiasts and Tibetan Lamas agreed that there should not restrict displays of religious objects too particularly, if the intention for display came out of respect (p. 292). Nevertheless, such shrine displays indeed pronounced the problematic appropriation of religious Tibet as the sole focal point, where artefacts are displayed without holistic truthful context. Therefore, such spectacular exhibition narrative has enhanced the sensationalization and stereotypical fabrications of Tibetan Buddhism. Moreover, historical records from West had portrayed Tibetan Buddhists notoriously as ‘sinister and superstitious cult’ (Lopez, 2018, p. 10). Furthermore, due to the geographical remoteness of Tibet, people with limited access could only imagine and sensationalize the otherworldliness of ‘mystical’ Tibet. Lopez describes,

“Tibet was consistently portrayed as isolated and closed during the nineteenth century, the period in which both Britain and Russia made attempts to establish relations with the government in Lhasa but were rebuffed” (Lopez, 2018, p. 10).

Besides geographical and political reasons to reimagine Tibet, Potala Palace is an icon closely associated with the supreme religious leader, the 14th Dalai Lama who is in exile. Potala Palace acts as the immobile symbol of Buddhism epicentre in contrast with Dalai Lama’s mobile spirituality, both enhance the enthusiasm for Tibetan Buddhism across the world.

Such attention to Tibetan Buddhism in museums can be a double-edged sword. Museum as a notion itself connotes with the secularity, which often interpreted as authoritative rationality. Musealised religious displays leveraged on the oppositional concept of “sacred” and “secular” to evoke the visual attention from audiences. These portrayal of Tibetan shrine in museums was embedded with colonial conception that have endorsed the imagination and representation of a hyper-religious Tibet.

## Establishment of Potala Palace

### 4.1 Mythical Tales of Tibet

Potala Palace was founded in ca. 637 by Tibetan King *Srong Btsan Sgma Po* ( r.ca.614-650), who envisioned an eleven-storied castle like architecture on the Mar Po Ri Hill to serve as his royal court (Irons, 2008, p. 508). Potala Palace's original Tibetan name '*Rtse Po Ta La's Pho Brang*' translated to be 'Summit Palace of Potala' refers to Mount Potalaka in India, where it is believed to be the holy site for the compassionate Bodhisattva Avalokitesvara (p.508). About 1000 years later, in 1645, the original architecture was renovated by the fifth Dalai Lama (1617-1682), in making it the Central Tibetan Government's new quarter (p.508). A sketch by Johannes Gruber in 1661(Fig. 4.1) who is an Austrian missionary and explorers who passed by Tibet, he had recorded down the substantial process of the construction (p.508).




Figure 3.1 *Depiction of Potala Palace* (Grueber,1651).

Potala Palace was also the residence of Dalai Lama and Tibetan Government from the 14<sup>th</sup> to 17<sup>th</sup> century. Currently, it has thirteen floors in total, contains more than one thousand rooms inclusive of numerous residential rooms, receptions, halls, monastic quarters, and offices. The palace is mainly separated into White and Red Palace, the White Palace functioned as the administrative and residential areas, whereas the Red Palace has located for religious purposes especially, where includes the reliquary tombs of the fifth and seventh to thirteenth Dalai Lamas (Irons, 2008, p. 508).

In the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century, narratives of Tibet from Europeans could be exaggerated and imaginative. In a 1849 report to the Royal Geographical Society, it was written that the Potala Place had plenty of sacred objects that it surpasses in wealth to Mecca and Medina (Gutzlaf, 1851, p. 214). Another record stated that the Potala Palace has so many treasures of gold offering, even a golden rooftop for the Potala Palace (p. 214).

Gold was mentioned tremendously in Western accounts about Tibet, Tibetans were falsified as the gold-digging ‘ants’ in the mountains to the North of India (p. 214). In 1773, a paraphrased story of Dalai Lama had sent gold ingots to Warren Hastings as a diplomatic effort to bring peace, Dalai Lama was also described being the most opulent individual in existence (p. 214). These stories had illustrated Tibet was a place rich for gold and thus took yellow as sacred colours, such as the yellow robes of the Tibetan lamas (p. 214). In 1904, Landon also imagined Lhasa as an eternal sanctuary, the most secret place of the earth. As Landon (1912) described his team’s tense anticipation upon arrival in Lhasa,

“A gleam of gold in the far distance, and we thought that Lhasa was at last in sight....[But] we had to possess our souls in patience. Their reaction to catch the first glimpse of the rood of the Potala” (Landon, 1912, p.656).

From there, the myth of Tibet originally begins with banal greed for wealth, the Gold ignited the desire and longing for Tibet as a secret resourceful place before it became a exoticized religious wonder.

#### **4.2 British Expedition**

The curiosity for Tibet had amplified by the overstated myths in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. In 1903-04, British launched an expedition led by Captain W.F.T.O’Connor, it was described as a journey for the long-awaited obsession with the treasures in Tibet (Harris, 2012, p. 52). There, Captain O’Connor had instructed Captain Francis Younghusband (Younghusband) to compile an intelligence report of Tibet as the outcome of the expedition (p. 52). Although British had not colonised Tibet before, there was an intended British invasion to Tibet and Tibet had to sign an agreement treaty at Potala Place in Lhasa (p. 52). One of the benefits of the colonial patronage signed in the treaty, was that Tibet would able to receive the preservation and protection of their culture from the grasp of China and Russia (p. 52). Francis Younghusband as the expedition’s leader had demonstrate that they had reached the heart of Tibetan power by signing of the treaty. It is evident to tell that the Potala Palace meant to have a sacred status to him as he described after signing:

“I insensibly suffused with an almost intoxicating sense of elation and goodwill....Never again could I think evil, or again be at enmity with any man.....Such experiences are only too rare, yet it is these few fleeting moments that are reality” (Jung, 1968 & Bishop,1989, p. 161).



Figure 3.2 *O'Connor and domestic staff at Gyantse in 1905* (AmenhotepIII, 1905).

Tibet held political importance to Britain, Sir Charles Alfred Bell KCIE CMG used to be the British political officer for the Himalayan regions, including Tibet (Harris, 2012, p. 77). He encouraged the Dalai Lama to transform Tibetan territory into a strong and unified modern state, this is actually to position Tibet into a geo-strategic zone between Russia and China (p. 77). British also put in efforts to transform Tibet into a legitimized nation-state and friendly neighbour to India, subsequently creating India-Tibetan identity to separate from China (p. 77). Therefore, Tibet had started to necessitate its own art, creating national awareness, symbol, and consciousness of Tibetan as a nation, such as by founding of Tibetan own football team, having competitions for Britain versus Tibet (Shakya, 2001, p. 78). In 1920, the British also encouraged school children in Gyantse to wear traditional Tibetan clothing's and presented photos of the Dalai Lama as competition prizes. This was to reinforce the supreme religious status of Dalai Lama, in addition, British also strengthened its power in Tibet to resist China politically (p. 78).

However, not all Western influences did go smoothly like British. In 1930, missionary J. H Edgar arrived at Tibet to spread teachings of Christianity, he had written down the difficulty of his mission:

“It is impossible for Christianity to develop in Tibet proper because of its antagonist, Lamaism.....is a tithe from all Tibetan families, as well as local and national form of government” (Shakya, 1996, p. 30).

This crucial statement had shown the resistance of infiltrating a new religion into Tibetans. These setbacks accounted by missionaries had reappeared as catalysts for the perceptions of Tibetan Buddhism as an ‘mysterious’ and indestructible religion that further the fantasy of Tibet subsequently. The British Expedition had been an early ignitor for the growing interest of Tibetan ‘fantasy’ in the future. The intelligence report gathered by Younghusband had started to classify the value of Tibetan ethnic

object with a colonial gaze, this very categorization system was continually implemented by the CCP in the Tibet museum for selection of exhibiting artefacts.

### **4.3 Construction of Utopia**

#### **4.3.1 Mystification of Shangri-la**

Potala Palace is represented as a symbolic icon for Tibet, and Tibet is perceived as the centre of 'Shangri-La'. The term 'Shangri-La' is a fictional name made up by the British author James Hilton in his novel *'Lost Horizon'* (Wood, 2007, p. 20). 'Shangri-la' was defined as the isolated mythical utopia among the Himalayan mountains (p. 20). In an interview with Hilton in 1936, he confessed that his inspirations of 'Shangri-La' originated from the Tibetan material at the British Museum. They were mainly displays of travelogue of French priests, whom had travelled to Beijing and Lhasa in 1840s (McRae, 2012, p. 25). In 1933, the *'Lost Horizon'* has made into a Hollywood movie (Bishop, 1994, p. 15), the fictional 'Shangri-la' that represented Tibet had continued to flourish by the popular culture and mass media. The fantasy continues on till nowadays, in 2001, 中甸县 [Zhongdian County] in China had renamed to Shangri-la city and upgraded into a county level City in the Yunan Province<sup>5</sup> (Shangri-La City Government, 2001). Shangri-la, this was once the fictional name fabricated by James Hilton had turned into a reality for the economic and tourism benefits of China. Chinese Government does not bother by the fact that the mythical name has comprised heavy colonial connotation. Instead, they amplified this Western fictional construction into marketing strategies for the national interest.

---

<sup>5</sup> The renamed Shangri-la city is similar to other commodities that used the branding of the name for economic and tourism purposes, stated on the website that the city is a living utopia and spiritual-healing paradise as a worldly brand (Shangri-La City Government, 2001).

#### 4.3.2 Early Photographic Representation of Tibet in the West



Figure 3.3 *Buddhist ritual at Choni, Gansu, China. photography. Collection, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology (Wulsin, 1923).*

Early precedents of photographic representation references can be traced back even before the 20<sup>th</sup> century. They had been an igniting sparks towards the cultural imperialism with misrepresentation of socio-geography in Tibet. Anthropologist and explorers like Frederick R. Wulsin and his wife Janet, whom had led expedition to southwestern China in 1924 (Adolphson, 2003), where he photographed mostly anthropological portraits of the local people and their culture. In Fig 4.3, the featured Buddhist rituals at Gansu, where the city is located at the border of Tibet, the photo was part of the discussion that Frederick brought up about the tribe of “non-Chinese inhabitants’ and their physical characteristics (2003). His book in conjunction with an exhibit, titled *China’s Inner Frontier: Photograph of the Wulsin Expedition to Northwest China in 1923* (2003), has become an popular subject of study in 1970s. Similar to many other Euro-American expeditioners in the early 1900s, they have travelled to other parts of the world in search of natural wonders and documented them. These still images with scrutinizing gaze on the ‘other’ subject matter had become the vehicles of fascinations, and soon spread and gained its audiences in the West.



Figure 3.4 *Theos Bernard and Tibetan, Penthouse of the Gods* (N.a, 1936).

One such distinct individual would be the anthropologist Theos Bernard (Theos), whom had been one of the many travellers who reinforce the ‘legendary’ tale of Tibetan mystery in early 1900s (Kunimoto, 2011). Theos was born in a family with significant influence in yoga and Buddhism, at the age of 28, he departed to Tibet by his spiritual aspirations. During his mere three months stay in Tibet, he had documented nearly thousands of photographs. Oftentimes, he was in the photograph with the local Tibetans, usually without addressing their identities, nor crediting to the photographer who took it. He would dress with his new identity as the self-declared ‘White Lama’ (2011), emphasizing to be part of the insiders status with the apparent ethnographic desires as an outsider from a distance still.

Such depiction photos of Tibet were embedded with authorial power, the represented Tibet these travels and expedition leaders were the imagined personal vision through layers of fascinations. The inclusion of the authors in the pictures guided its audiences’ desire to be wrapped around in these ‘spiritually charged’ ropes and imagined it as tangible, exotic yet naturalised (2011).

These culturally framed photographs had displayed the characteristic of colonialist and orientalist notions towards Tibet, the perception of travel-hero (Kunimoto, 2011) in attempt to validate the fantasies of a foreign faraway culture. There was a rise in number of British armies and film of Tibet were first recorded by British army officers during early 1900s, due to the fact that Tibet was considered to be geographical and political important for British. India was part of the British Empire until 1947 (Roy, 2016) and British intended to portray Tibet and India were in a closed neighbourly relationship, both as sovereignty under the British ruling (Shakya, 2001, p. 98).



Figure 3.5 *Lowell Thomas: Tibet Lecture* (Lowell, 1944).

In 1920-1930, the British Empire had decided to educate the 'native' people of Tibet through Kodachrome lecture films (Fig.4.3), it was also meant for British to justify the credible reason for their mission to Tibet (p. 98). Another factor that might enhance this process is the emergence of colour photo techniques, this new invention outshined the monochrome prints quickly. Coloured photos had played its part in further sensationalising the mysteriousness of Tibet. In comparison with the black and white photo perceived as factual, these colorised photo of Potala Palace appeared to be the enchanted 'fairy-tale' of Tibet. These photographs and films that eventually disseminated to the rest of world, they were once the only and critical source for people to know about Tibet visually. The fragmented and selective recording by British army photographers had limited its audience by the lack of contextual information, and consequently resulted in the misrepresented impressions of Tibet.

This was the first record of Tibetan had encounter to film. Shortly, some of the Tibetan Government officials had requested the British army officer Frederick Spencer Chapman (Chapman) to be their court photographer and made film for them (p. 98). Chapman spent six months in Lhasa and took 2,500 photos, 13,000 feet of 35 mm film, 6,000 feet of 16-mm Black and white film of Tibetan's lifestyle (p. 98). The content of the film were mostly emphasized on the long pilgrimage and ceremonial places practices of Tibetan Buddhism. British photographers besides achieving the political aim, they had the liberty to capture Tibet through their lens selectively. An distinct example is Sir Basil John Gould (Gould), his film tends to focus on the 'unusual' event of Tibet, instead of being neutral to have a more comprehensive ethnographic survey (Shakya, 1996, p. 430). A very controversial clip by Gould in showing the 'lice-eating' scene had offended many Tibetan in the 1920s, they accused him for magnifying the unfavourable sides of Tibet to the world (p. 430). These examples of British army photographers mentioned above indicates that despite their different

rationale for filming, they did not managed to record Tibet without the colonial gaze to have a more holistic approach towards Tibet. Therefore, these fragments of records, be it film or photographs of Tibet had restrained audience from making a comprehensive understanding of Tibet, moreover it had transmuted a culture into an imaginary.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

### 4.3.3 Escapism since Early 20<sup>th</sup> Century

Escapism summarizes to be the concept of escaping from the sense of aimless modernity to a paradise (Bishop, 2000, p. 650). Tibet was perceived as the sanctuary of Tibetan ‘noble savages’ (Ellingson, 2001, p. 12), the isolated ‘others’ who had not been corrupted by civilization and remained the human’s innate goodness. Tibet was and is one of these popular destinations where people had the influence to imagine it as the holy land of the world. As Philip Rawson (1991) described this trend of escapism in his book ‘Sacred Tibet’,

“Tibetan culture offers powerful, untarnished and coherent alternatives to western egotistical lifestyles, our short attention span, our gradually more pointless pursuit of material satisfaction” (Rawson, 1991).

In the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, the rise of escapism to Tibet was partially due to the advancement of new telecommunication technologies, the improvement on accessibility made people more connected, traditional notions of isolated Tibet was threatened by it thus had led to nostalgia in return. In 1921, the first telegraph line reached Lhasa where Potala Palace had integrated with global communication, this was part of the social and technological reform initiated by Tibetan leadership. Progressively, Tibetan started to have more exposure from the Western countries, such as movies, entertainment, cigarettes and football (Bishop, 1994, p. 14). Meanwhile, in conjunction with the New Age Movement<sup>6</sup> (1970s), it responds to the opposition against traditional religions in the West, leaning towards various Asian spiritual traditions especially (York, 2001). Such as Tibetan Buddhism was one of the popular spiritual pursuits in the movement, and these sacred religious practices had become commodified public property (2001). The wave of appropriation and commercialisation of Tibetan Buddhism had led to the rise of tourism in Tibet, the excessive exposure that followed thereafter had concurrently diminished the Western imagination of Tibet as a secluded secret destination. However, the escapism mentality sustains till nowadays, in search for an alternative idealized construct of utopia. For Tibet’s case, the constructed fantasy is backed up and represented since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century. In the 1960s, British army left India and Tibet, there British had archived and scholarly documented about as political strategic report (p. 15). For instance, ‘*A Cultural History of Tibet*’ compiled by British representative Hugh Richardson, is remain in use as undergraduate textbooks at British Universities (p. 15). The bubble of nostalgia for Tibet continues on even by the continuing accessible revelations of the reality of Tibet.

---

<sup>6</sup> The New Age Movement is a difficult term to define, in this paper it refers to the description from sociologists, that it was a new religious and spiritual movement rapidly developed in the Western world during the 1970s (Kemp, 2004).

## 4.4 Presentation of Tibet in The Chinese Interest

### 4.4.1 The 'Liberation' of Tibet

According to Professor Elliot Sperling (2004) who is one of the esteemed historians of Tibet and Sino-Tibetan relations. He stated that from the Chinese history records, Tibet has been a subsidiary region of China historically (Sperling, 2004, p. 12). In the 18th century, the Manchu empire incorporated Tibet and ruled under China from Yuan Dynasty (p. 13). A souvenir postcard printed with a mural from Potala Palace showcased that, as early as the year 1630 during the Qing Dynasty, the fifth Dalai Lama had made obeisance and tribute to then the Qing Emperor Shun Chih (Fig. 4.4). This postcard sold as a souvenir at the Tibet Museum reconfirmed the position from CCP in justifying the historical relationship with Tibet. Therefore, it validated Chinese administration in portraying Tibetan as one of the minority races among other 55 races of China (Harris, 2013, p. 70). This declaration was not well acknowledged by most Tibetans, especially among the spiritual leaders. Hence after the fall of the Ming Dynasty, Tibet's independence is announced by the 13th Dalai Lama, supported by the Tibetan Buddhism practitioners who believed that the sovereignty of Tibet to China is a political move, not history (Sperling, 2004, p. 20).



Figure 3.6 *Mural of Fifth Dalai Lama making obeisance to Ching Dynasty Emperor Shun Chih* (Wang, 1995).

On the contrary, CCP had emphasized that it was the foreign imperialistic power which had intruded Tibet in 1904 (Sperling, 2004, p. 32). That the 13th Dalai Lama was forced to escape to Mongolia, whom only agreed to return to Tibet in 1911 when Dr. Sun Yatsun had founded the People's Republic of China (PRC; see p. 32). CCP also claimed that the British had not officially recognized Tibet as an independent country, despite it was considered geo-politically crucial between India and China (p.

35). The CCP had clarified that due to the Japanese-China War during 1931-1941, and Chinese Civil War between Kuomintang (KMT)-led government and CCP during 1927-1949 (Jian, 2006, p. 59), Tibet was not on the radar of CCP to reclaim its righteousness under China. Whereas in 1951, Chinese Chairman Mao Zedong signed the *Tibet 17 point Agreement* to reaffirm China's authority over Tibet with the support of 14th Dalai Lama then, however according to Chinese account Dalai Lama did not keep his promise and exiled to India to form the Government of Tibet in exile at the age of 23 (Sperling, 2004, p. 36).



Figure 3.7 *Clay Carving Princess Wencheng in Potala Palace* (Wang, 1995).

Tibet has been portrayed by China as majority of them are slaves under the feudal hierarchy, they had been tortured by the 'superior' wealthy nobles under the system (Harris, 2012, p. 219). The "Peaceful Liberation of Tibet" (Huaxia, 2020) had freed the slavery of Tibet. These politicized Tibetan history had reiterated by Chinese officials, it has been instrumental in endorsing the political dominance over Tibet. Consequently, many establishments like the Tibet Museum, had continued the legacy of accentuating the Chinese version of Tibetan history.

#### **4.4.2 The Chinese Image of Tibet**

The *Tibet 17 point Agreement* signed between China and Tibetan had changed the political status to have restricted authority over the decision makings for Tibet. Potala Palace under the Chinese authority was merely conserved as the sacred Buddhism institution, it is rather a signature icon that can be represented to support the CCP's political positions. An incident that demonstrated this mentality was the architecture of '*Cultural Palace of Working People*' (Woesser, 2007, p. 45), it was built in front of the Potala Palace as the new Chinese Tibet Government Office. This 100,000 square feet building was celebrated as the Chinese return to Tibet, that the farmers and working-class people were liberated from the previous Tibetan feudalistic society (p. 46).



Figure 3.8 Tibetan Civilians' Punishment during Cultural Revolution (Woeser, 2020).

Another significant event happened that reshaped the directions to reconstruct the image from Chinese perspective. In 1966, *Cultural Revolution* started with Chairman Mao's slogan "destroy the old and launch the new" (Jian, 2006, p. 63). This movement had shifted the society to suppress the nobles, educated and royals, in pursuit to achieve equality for everybody as working class. Monasteries and religious stupas across China were destroyed, except for the Potala Palace that had survived ironically as a reminder for the Tibetan history of feudalism. The Chinese Government musealised the Potala Palace as a stationary infamous background to contrast with the 'modernization' of Tibet under the Chinese leadership. This shifted conception of Potala Palace can be expressed by the 'Civil Defence Project' (Woeser, 2020, p. 49). It had displayed the apathetic negligence towards the Potala Palace, where air raid shelter was constructed and had damaged the foundation structure of Potala Palace (p. 49). Moreover, there lack of preservation plans for the precious objects such as the handicrafts, paintings, statues, and historical documents that were all taken away from Potala Palace (Xiong, 2004, p. 30). According to recount from the nephew of Dalai Lama, Qiampa Getsang, who has been taking care of the Potala Palace since the listing of the UNESCO heritage site in 1991. He expressed that, in the late 1980s the palace was ill-managed without the government's subsidies, it had only left with 7782 Yuan on the official account, plus an overdue electricity fee of more than 9000 Yuan (p. 30). The above examples have revealed the negligence from CCP to the Potala Palace, due to the shift ideologically to demean the Potala Palace as the relic of the past.



Figure 3.9 *Potala Pano* (Lee, 2001).

On the contrary, Potala Palace is seen as the essential factor to rebuild the image of Tibet in Chinese interest. During the 30th anniversary of the ‘Liberation of Tibet’, the Potala Square (Fig. 4.7) was constructed right in front of the Palace (Harris, 2012, p. 196), where a celebration performance at the Potala Square reminded the Tibetan community of the Chinese authority. Performances also highlighted that Tibetan is just another race among other 55 minority races in China, where Han-Chinese is described as a dominating father or elder brother figure (p. 196). At the Potala Square, the Chinese Liberation Army (CLA) soldiers will patrol here every day, the Chinese national flag flies high in the middle to reinstate the Chinese sovereignty. On the whole, CCP intended to normalize Tibet with other cities in China, musealising Lhasa city into a museum relic status for further political representations.

#### **4.4.3 Secularization as Catalyst for Musealisation of Tibet**

China’s ‘triumphant modernization’ of Tibet was intended to achieve unification between Chinese and Tibetans, a key action taken is to secularize Tibet from Buddhism, Potala Palace is a substantial element in this movement as the vital religious symbol of Tibet (Bishop, 1994, p. 6). In 1994, UNESCO (2000) had recognized the Potala Palace to be on the World Heritage List, shifting its status into a hybrid function heritage site where mainly operates as the touristic attraction. The beginning of secularization to Tibet can be uncovered from the 1960’s Cultural Revolution, anti-religious campaigns have conducted to ban churches, mosques, and temples (Robson, 2010, p. 123). Re-education camps were set up for clergies and religious personals, communism was considered the only acceptable ‘religion’ and belief system (p. 123). The PRC’s Ministry of Culture, clearly stated that a religious institution should be served as an educational centre for cultural legacy, such as the legacy of Confucianism (p. 123). In order for the government to approve these religious institutions such as the Temple, it is crucial to persuade the party that the organization is strictly for cultural purposes (p. 123). As a result, these previously

religious institution like Potala Palace will not just shift their ideological position, but involuntarily musealised into a museum-like exhibition spaces to showcase exhibition with clear political agenda not to emphasize on religious content. These policies from the ministry of PRC have restricted freedom of any religious practices in China. Thus in reality, it is necessary for many of these religious organizations to blur the line between secularism and religion, so as to sustain the institution financially, they were forced to disguise or transform inevitably into spiritual tourism attractions. Potala Palace as once the respectable religious institution under the sole administration of Tibetan Buddhist spiritual leaders, has been legitimized by the Chinese policy to musealise into an exhibition object.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

#### 4.4.4 Monumentalising Tibet and The Potala Palace

One of the key factor to musealise the Tibetan ethnic culture is to monumentalise the Potala Palace, which is the focal image associated with Tibet. The Chinese Government is well aware of the importance by the manifestation of Potala Palace that embodied its political image from CCP. The Chinese Government had begun the project by ‘musealizing’ the whole Lhasa city, where the Buddhist shrines were secularized and open to tourists, it also had promoted the building of new Tibetan monasteries amassed on streets as replicas to promote the Tibetanness of Lhasa (Xiao, 2019). Infrastructure were developed to promote the accessibility to Tibet, such as the Qinghai Tibet Railway was connected from Beijing to Lhasa in July 2006, it is described as a ‘miracle railway’ due to the technical difficulty, in bringing opportunities to the remote Tibet (2019). Mr. Hu Jintao, the president of China then mentioned the significance of the railway to further unify Tibet and China, as well as to open up to tourism and trade (2019). Meanwhile, the state-funded Tibet Museum had also started its construction together with the theme park- *Snow City* (Harris, 2012, p. 200). The theme park consists of many 3-dimensional human effigies and ambience props to narrate the miserable lives of Tibetan slaves (p. 200). It is intended to reiterate the feudalistic past of Tibetan hierarchical ‘obsolescence’ and ‘repugnance’, in return to recap that Tibet had surpassed the dark time due to the modern Chinese progression. The establishment of the Tibet Museum is positioned as a more neutral institution besides the *Snow City*, however it is curated specifically for the political interest of CCP.



Figure 3.10 50 Chinese Yuan (Weber, 2010).

Potala Palace on the other hand, is a popular symbol that is recognizable globally. The CCP intended to leverage on the image of Potala Palace in representing China both internally and externally. In the year 1999, it marked the 50th anniversary of Peaceful Liberation of Tibet (Woeser, 2007, p. 47) and launched the inaugural Tibet Museum at the same time. In the same year, the Potala Palace was printed on the back of the green *Ren Ming Bi*(RMB) fifty-yuan banknotes (Fig. 4.8). This execution had demonstrated the state’s intension to boost Tibet economically, by creating more awareness of Tibet, in return to promote the Tibetan tourism. It had proven the impact in a 2003 report, the

palace has received half a million tourists and pilgrims annually, about 1500 visitors each day, the number is increasing at the rate of twenty percent (Woeser, 2007, p. 47). Consequently, more advertisement and production of Potala Palace were booming as commercial souvenir and products around the China (p. 47), it has further commodified the already musealised object of Potala Palace. More importantly, this deliberate gesture of bringing more attention to Tibet had benefited China politically too. The tokenistic advertisement of Tibet intended to justify the accusation of oppressing Tibetans under the paternalistic approaches of Beijing (Seo & Cho, 2013). Concurrently, it excreted a reassurance that Tibet is continually to be an 'incontrovertible' part of China. The printing of Potala Palace on the banknote is one of the many mechanism that the CCP deliberated to objectify the Tibetan ethnic culture, it has reflected once again the Chinese ambition to market the musealised Potala Palace as an iconic 'commodity' to stabilize Tibet economically and politically in the Chinese interest.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## **Conclusion**

The cases for mystifying and fabricating images of Tibet through Potala Palace, and musealised institutions are salutary, which remind us of the repercussions from (mis)representation of a certain ethnographic culture. The impact of reconstructing Tibetan culture through musealisation will lead to ramifications beyond the museum walls for our future generation. This paper has demonstrated that museums as a conception and institution around the world could orchestrate and rewrite Tibetan culture for intended motives. By means of representing Tibetan culture without holistic approaches, through the lens of exoticization and commodification, it substantially created injustice and confusion for the ethnic minority of Tibet.

Ethnic cultural content occupies a prominent percentage of the exhibition in museums around the world, and private collectors and museum institutions are constantly discovering new findings to fill up their ethnographic collections. Decision makers in the authoritative museum institutions innately withhold the social responsibility in acknowledging and disseminating each ethnic's culture more heterogeneously from the top down to the public. This awareness allows us to relook at and unpack the historical precedents of a certain ethnographic cultural formation. As well as recognizing the power dynamic between institutions and ethnographic culture, involves possible misunderstanding and exploitation of certain underrepresented or misrepresented cultures due to various intentions, and therefore to be more diligent in the portrayal of various cultures of any represented communities in an institution. As possible directions for further study, the musealised Tibetan culture is not a unique case by itself, there are many other ethnic minority cultures, similar to Tibetan that are being exploited as musealised commodities, continually fabricating the narratives for personal, social, economic and political objectives. It is hoped that besides the acknowledgement and effort within the institutions, as global citizens we will stay vigilant on any ethnographic culture we are consuming in the highly globalized world through various mediums and cultural manufacturers. It is time to rethink and research in-depth our fellow ethnic groups in the wider post-colonial context, so to clarify and elucidate their oppressing voices against detrimental misrepresentations formed by the privileged authoritative agencies.

## References

1. Adolphson, M. S. (2003). *Treasures of the Yenching: Seventy-fifth Anniversary of the Harvard-Yenching Library: Exhibition Catalogue* (No. 1). Chinese University Press.
2. Alexander, E. P., Alexander, M., & Decker, J. (2017). *Museums in motion: An introduction to the history and functions of museums*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, USA. Print.
3. Bentor, Y. (1996). Literature on consecration (Rab gnas). *Tibetan Literature: Studies in Genre*, p. 290-311.  
[https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/12036261/Consecration\\_Literature.pdf?1334286630](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/12036261/Consecration_Literature.pdf?1334286630). Retrieved on 20 July 2020
4. Bishop, P. (1989). *The myth of Shangri-La: Tibet, travel writing, and the western creation of sacred landscape*. California: University of California Press, USA. Print.
5. Bishop, P. (1994). The Potala & Western Place Making. *The Tibet Journal*, Vol. 19, 2, pp. 5-22. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43300505>. Retrieved on 20 July 2020
6. Bishop, P. (2000). Caught in the cross-fire: Tibet, media and promotional culture. *Media, Culture & Society*, Vol. 22,(5), p.645-664.  
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/016344300022005007>. Retrieved on 20 June 2021
7. Bouquet, M., & Porto, N. (2006). *Science, magic and religion: the ritual processes of museum magic*, Vol. 23. Oxford: Berghahn Books, UK. Print.
8. Boyair, E. A. (2008). Journeys to Shangri-La: The neo-orientalism of Tibetan culture. *Asian tourism: Growth and change* (p.335-343). New York: Routledge, USA. Print.
9. Bruner, E. M. (1994). Abraham Lincoln as authentic reproduction: A critique of postmodernism. *American anthropologist*. Vol. 96, (2), p. 397-415.  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/681680>. Retrieved on 10 May 2020
10. Chinese Government State Bureau of Religious Affair 国家宗教事务局令. (2007). *Zangchuan fojiaohuogo zhuanshiguanli banfa* [Measures on the Management of the Reincarnation of Living Buddhas in Tibetan Buddhism]. People's Republic of China: The State Council.

[http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2008/content\\_923053.htm](http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2008/content_923053.htm). Accessed on 10 April 2020

11. Chou, W. S. (2014). Reimagining the Buddhist universe: pilgrimage and cosmography in the court of the Thirteenth Dalai Lama (1876-1933). *The Journal of Asian Studies*, Vol. 73, (2), p. 419-445.  
doi:10.1017/S002191181300244. Retrieved on 10 May 2021
12. Clark, I. (2016). Exhibiting the Exotic, Simulating the Sacred: Tibetan Shrines at British and American Museums. *Ateliers d'anthropologie. Revue éditée par le Laboratoire d'ethnologie et de sociologie comparative*, Vol. 43.  
doi.org/10.4000/ateliers.10300. Retrieved on 5 May 2021
13. Corbey, R. (1993). Ethnographic Showcases, 1870–1930. *Cultural Anthropology*, Vol. 8, (3), p. 338-369. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/656317>. Retrieved on 15 May 2021
14. Davison, P. (2005). *Museums and the re-shaping of memory* (p. 184-194). New York: Routledge, USA. Print.
15. Denton, K. A. (2005). Museums, memorial sites and exhibitionary culture in the People's Republic of China. *China Quarterly*. Vol. 183, p. 565-586.  
[https://www.academia.edu/19545450/Museums\\_Memorial\\_Sites\\_and\\_Exhibitionary\\_Culture\\_in\\_the\\_Peoples\\_Republic\\_of\\_China?from=cover\\_page](https://www.academia.edu/19545450/Museums_Memorial_Sites_and_Exhibitionary_Culture_in_the_Peoples_Republic_of_China?from=cover_page). Retrieved on 05 May 2021.
16. Dickinson, G., Ott, B. L., & Aoki, E. (2006). Spaces of remembering and forgetting: The reverent eye/I at the Plains Indian Museum. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, Vol. 3, 1, p. 27-47.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14791420500505619>. Retrieved on 15 May 2021
17. Dictionary. (n.d.). S.n. *Dictionary.com dictionary*.  
<https://www.dictionary.com/browse/ethnography>. Accessed on 01 April 2021
18. Dodge, P. S. W., & Keränen, L. (2018). Sixty years of “peaceful liberation” at the Tibet Museum in Lhasa: triumphant modernization at the rooftop of the world. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, Vol. 11,(3), 306-323.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17544750.2018.1470543>. Retrieved on 15 May 2021
19. Ellingson, T. (2001). *The myth of the noble savage*. California: University of California Press, USA. Print.
20. Elliot, S. “*The Tibet-China Conflict: History and Polemics*” Washington: East-West Centre Washington, 2004.

<https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/handle/10125/3525>. Retrieved on 05 May 2021

21. Embassy of The People's Republic of China in the Republic Latvia. (2006). *Religious Rituals*. <http://lv.chineseembassy.org/eng/zt/zgxz/t251509.htm>. Accessed on 01 May 2021
22. French, P. (2016). *Younghusband: The last great imperial adventurer*. Vintage. New York: Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group, USA. Print.
23. Gutzlaf, C. (1851) "Tibet & Stefan", *Journal of Royal Geographical Society*, Vol. 2, p. 214-215. <https://www.jstor.org/journal/jroyageogsocilon>. Retrieved on 28 April 2020
24. Gombrich, R. (1974). Eliade on Buddhism. *Religious Studies*, Vol. 10, (2), p. 225-231. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20005155>. Retrieved on 20 April 2020
25. Griffiths, A. (2001). *Wondrous Difference: Cinema, Anthropology, and Turn-of-the-Century Visual Culture*. New York: Columbia University Press, USA. Print.
26. Harris, C. E. (2012). *"The Museum on the Roof of the World: Art, politics, and the representation of Tibet"*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2012, USA. Print.
27. Harris, C. E. (2013). "The Potala Palace: Remembering to Forget in Contemporary Tibet." *South Asian Studies*. Vol. 29, (1), p. 61-75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02666030.2013.772816>. Retrieved on 09 March 2020
28. Huaxia. (2020). Tibetan prefecture marks 70<sup>th</sup> anniversary of peaceful liberation. *Xinhua net*. [http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2020-10/09/c\\_139428981.htm](http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2020-10/09/c_139428981.htm). Accessed on 09 April 2020
29. Irons, E. A. (2008). *Encyclopedia of Buddhism*. New York: Macmillan Library Reference, 2003, USA. Print.
30. Jian, C. (2006). The Tibetan rebellion of 1959 and China's changing relations with India and the Soviet Union. *Journal of Cold War Studies*, Vol. 8,(3), p. 54-101. <https://watermark.silverchair.com/jcws.2006.8.3.54.pdf?>. Retrieved on 02 Feb 2021
31. Jung, C. G. (1968). *Collected Works, Alchemical Studies*. London: Routledge, UK. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315725345>. Retrieved on 02 May 2020

32. Keay, J. (1977). *When men and mountains meet: the explorers of the Western Himalayas 1820-75*. Hachette: John Murray Publishers, UK. Print.
33. Kemp, D. (2004). *New Age: A guide*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, Scotland. Print
34. Kunimoto, N. (2011). *Traveler-as-Lama photography and the fantasy of transformation in Tibet*. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Publishing, University of Michigan Library.
35. Landon, P. (1912). Tibet, China, and India. *Fortnightly Review*, Vol.92, (550), p. 655-662. <https://www.proquest.com/openview/906b6d64e95468d7/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=14004>. Retrieved on 20 May 2020
36. Leigh, M. S. (2020). Memory and Culture Sustainability in Contemporary Tibetan Art. *Asia Art Archive*. <https://aaa.org.hk/en/ideas/ideas/memory-and-cultural-sustainability-in-contemporary-tibetan-art>. Accessed 03 April 2020
37. Loos, T. (2018). Enshrining Tibetan Buddhist Artefacts at Home. For Now. *New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/12/05/arts/design/alice-kandell-show-us-your-wall.html>. Accessed 02 May 2021
38. Lopez, D. S. (2018). *Prisoners of Shangri-la: Tibetan Buddhism and the west*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, USA. Print.
39. Luo, S. L. (2016). A Writer's Quest to Unearth the Roots of Tibet's Unrest. *New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/15/world/asia/china-tibet-lhasa-jianglin-li.html>. Accessed 02 Feb 2021
40. Maranda, L. (2009). Museology, back to the basics: musealization. *ICOFOM Study Series*, Vol. 38, p. 251-258. [https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/4096683/ISS\\_ICOFOM\\_STUDY\\_SERIE\\_S\\_38.pdf?response-content](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/4096683/ISS_ICOFOM_STUDY_SERIE_S_38.pdf?response-content). Retrieved on 02 Feb 2021
41. McRae, M. (2012). *The Siege of Shangri-La: The Quest for Tibet's Sacred Hidden Paradise*. New York: Broadway Books, USA. Print.
42. Mei, Y. (2019). Qinghai-Tibet Railway transports record high passengers during Spring Festival travel rush. *Xinhua Net*. [http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-03/02/c\\_137862604.htm](http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-03/02/c_137862604.htm). Accessed 07 April 2020

43. Morreale, D. (1988). *Buddhist America: centres, retreats, practices*. Santa Fe: John Muir Publications. New Mexico. Print.
44. Ngawang Jigme Ngabo: Tibetan government official. (2010). *The Times News*. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/ngawang-jigme-ngabo-tibetan-government-official-gn5nxtg9f8b>. Retrieved on 20 May 2021
45. Nyman, L. E. (1983). Modernisierungsversuche in Tibet während der Zwischenkriegszeit[Attempts to modernize Tibet during Internal War]. *Central Asiatic Journal*, Vol. 27, (1/2), p. 101-111. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41927391>. Retrieved on 20 Apr 2021
46. Office of The Historian, Foreign Service Institute, United States Department of State. (N.d). *The Immigration Act of 1924 (The Johnson-Reed Act)*. <https://history.state.gov/milestones/1921-1936/immigration-act>. Accessed on 01 May 2021.
47. Osterlund, P. B. (2013). *Musealization as a strategic component of urban transformation in 21st century Istanbul (Doctoral dissertation)*. <http://research.sabanciuniv.edu/26855/>. Retrieved on 20 Apr 2021
48. Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology. *Frederic R. Wulsin*. N.p,n.d. <https://www.peabody.harvard.edu/node/2468>. Accessed on 10 August 2021
49. People's Daily Online. (2001). White Paper on Tibet's March Toward Modernization Electric Document. China. <http://en.people.cn/features/Tibetpaper/tb1.html>. Accessed on 20 Apr 2021
50. Pomfret, J. (1999). A Tibetan Self-made in China. *Washington Post Foreign Service*. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/inatl/daily/aug99/daji01.htm>. Accessed on 15 March 2020
51. Rawson, P. S. (1991). *Sacred Tibet*. New York: Thames and Hudson, USA. Print.
52. Robson, J. (2010). Faith in museums: on the confluence of museums and religious sites in Asia. *Publications of the Modern Language Association of America*, Vol. 125, (1), p. 121-128. <https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/34900023/1076775.pdf?sequence=1> Retrieved on 18 May 2021
53. Roy, T. (2016). The British Empire And The Economic Development Of India (1858-1947). *Revista de Historia Economica-Journal of Iberian and Latin*

*American Economic History*, Vol.34, (2), p. 209-236. Retrieved on 12 Aug 2021

54. Rydell, R. W. (2013). *All the world's a fair: Visions of empire at American international expositions, 1876-1916*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, USA. Print.
55. Seo, J., & Cho, Y. C. (2013). Rethinking Beijing's Geostrategic Sensibilities to Tibet and Xinjiang: Images and Interests. *Pacific Focus*, Vol. 28, (2), p. 294-317. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/pafo.12012>. Retrieved on 1 May 2021
56. Schein, L. (1997). Gender and internal orientalism in China. *Modern China*, Vol. 23, (1), p. 69-98. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/189464>. Retrieved on 8 May 2021
57. Schwartz, R. (2011). Book Review. [Review of the book *Ben Jiao and Tanzen Lhundrup, On the Cultural Revolution in Tibet: The Nyemo Incident of 1969* by M. C. Goldstein] *Open Edition China Perspectives*. <http://journals.openedition.org/chinaperspectives/5482>. Retrieved on 8 May 2021
58. Shakya, T. (1996). *Imagining Tibet: Perceptions, projections, and fantasies*. Massachusetts: Wisdom Publications, USA. Print.
59. Shepherd, R. (2002). Commodification, culture and tourism. *Tourist studies*, Vol. 2, (2), p.183-201. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/146879702761936653>. Retrieved on 2 May 2021
60. Sperling, E. (2004). *The Tibet-China Conflict: History and Polemics*. Washington: East-West Centre Washington Publications, USA. <https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/bitstream/10125/3525/PS007.pdf>. Retrieved on 08 May 2021
61. Sperling, E. (2008). The Dalai Lama as Dupe. *Los Angeles Times*. <https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-2008-apr-03-oe-sperling3-story.html>. Retrieved on 08 May 2021
62. Swanick, L. A. (2006). *An analysis of navigational instruments in the Age of Exploration: 15th century to mid-17th century* (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University). <https://oaktrust.library.tamu.edu/bitstream/handle/1969.1/3235/etd-tamu->

2005C-ANTH-Swanick.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y. Retrieved on 08 May 2021

63. Vetter, J. (2020). Through the eyes of the Potala Palace: Difficult heritage and memory in Tibet. *The iJournal: Graduate Student Journal of the Faculty of Information*, Vol. 6, (1), p. 1-17.  
<https://theijournal.ca/index.php/ijournal/article/view/35270>. Retrieved on 08 May 2021
64. UNESCO. (2000). Historic Ensemble of the Potala Palace, Lhasa. World Heritage List. *United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization*. <https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/707/>. Accessed on 03 April 2020
65. Wittke, J. H. (2010). *Geology of the Tibetan Plateau*. Northern Arizona University. <https://oak.ucc.nau.edu/wittke/Tibet/Plateau.html>. Retrieved on 03 May 2021
66. Woesser, T. (2007). Decline of Potala Palace. *Tibet writes*.  
<https://www.tibetwrites.in/translations/decline-of-the-potala-palace/>. Retrieved on 08 May 2021
67. Woesser, T. (2020). *Forbidden Memory: Tibet during the Cultural Revolution*. Nebraska: University Nebraska Press, USA. Print.
68. Wood, M. (2007). *In search of myths & heroes: Exploring four epic legends of the world*. California: University of California Press, USA. Print.
69. 香格里拉市人民政府[Shangri-La City Government]. (2001). 香格里拉市情简介[*Shangri-la City Brief*].  
[http://www.xgll.gov.cn/html/2013/xqjj\\_0723/81.html](http://www.xgll.gov.cn/html/2013/xqjj_0723/81.html). Accessed on 25 May 2021
70. Xiao, X. (2019). “Discover China: Mysterious tribe's road to modernity”. *XinhuaNet*. [http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-08/21/c\\_138326670.htm](http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-08/21/c_138326670.htm). Accessed 05 March 2021
71. Xinhua. (2010). “Tibetan boy, 4, is Living Buddha”. *Shanghai Daily*.  
<https://archive.shine.cn/nation/Tibetan-boy-4-is-Living-Buddha/shdaily.shtml>. Accessed 05 June 2021
72. Xiong, L. (2004). Housekeeper of Potala Palace. *Human Rights Quarterly*. Vol. 3, p. 30.

<https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/jrn1hmch3&div=75&id=&page=>. Retrieved on 10 June 2021

73. Yonten, P. (1991). The Philosophy of Tibetan Medicine. *India International Centre Quarterly*, Vol. 18, (2/3), p. 27-40. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23002363>. Retrieved on 9 June 2021

74. York, M. (2001). New Age commodification and appropriation of spirituality. *Journal of Contemporary Religion*, Vol.16, (3), p. 361-372. Retrieved on 12 Aug 2021

UNDER PEER REVIEW