

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_93488
Title of the Manuscript:	PRESERVATION OF ETHNIC IDENTITY THROUGH VERNACULAR RADIO BROADCASTING
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Your paper is well structured and nicely written. The paper carries a good topical, ideological and linguistic significance. The research problem is well articulated and literature is also substantially reviewed. However, I would request the author (s) to consider the following two points: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please add a discussion section in the paper to discuss the findings in the light of the theory and by connecting your discussion with the literature review back and forth.</li><li>2. Please substantiate your methodology by adding points on the data collection procedure (rigor: the place, duration, episodes, instruments, human participants' demographic info, permission etc.) to raise validity and reliability. Please explain a bit about how the data were analysed.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Upon the suggested revisions being done, the paper may be accepted for publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saiful Alam
Department, University & Country	World University of Bangladesh, Bangladesh