

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_95041
Title of the Manuscript:	Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines Establish a Balance in Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Malaria in Plasmodium falciparum Endemic Regions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The Manuscript Is Important For The Scientific Community</p> <p>The Title Is Satisfactory</p> <p>The Abstract Has Typographical Errors Ie Hnf Instead Of Tnf, The Author Needs To Spell Check The Document To Ensure That There Are No Typographical Errors. The Corrections Will Improve The Abstract</p> <p>The Subsections In The Methodology Section Some Are Missing Eg There Is No Subsection Of Study Design. In The Subsection 2.6 The Author Has Written Result Analysis Normally We Do Not Analyse Results But We Analyse Data To Get Results. Therefore The Author Should Revise The Subsection 2.6 To Read Data Analysis And Not Result Analysis.</p> <p>The Section On Cytokine Assays Need To Be Revised To Include The Name Of The Kits Manufacturers , Country And Even Batch Number. Furthermore, After Obtaining The Absorbances From The Elisa Reader The Author Needs To Report How The Actual Sample Concentrations Of Tested Cytokines Were Measured eg Did The Author Use A Software To Measure The Levels Or A Graph. This Need To Be Written including dilution factor is applicable,</p> <p>The Manuscript Is Scientifically Correct And The References Are Satisfactory</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The document need to be checked entirely using a spell checker to improve readability of the manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>No</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boniphace Sylvester
Department, University & Country	Hubert Kairuki Memorial University, United Republic of Tanzania