

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_94814
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Quality Analysis of Locally Packed and Commercially Available Bread in Chennai Region of Tamilnadu, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Recheck the below sentence in the abstract; The microbial quality was analysed so check the microbial load of bread samples using method of total bacterial count, total yeast/mould counts and total coliforms using standard microbial procedures.</p> <p>Please add the name of your research design to methodology part of abstract and explain it sufficiently in the methodology chapter of text.</p> <p>Six samples of shops are insufficient.</p> <p>In table 2; How did you detect the total fungi count in Table 2? Add its method to methodology and report its total count in the abstract and conclusion of abstract.</p> <p>Please add any equation used to detect total bacteria, coliform, and fungi counts to methodology chapter.</p> <p>Microbial count allowable concentration for any microorganism count must be added to discussion chapter based on valid organizations like WHO and then compare them with your results to declare the permissible levels.</p> <p>On the other hand, the content presented by authors are too short in word count. Thus, it can be enriched by adding and discussing useful and relevant paragraphs.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malek Hassanpour
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India