

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_95444
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Moringa Leaf Extract as a Natural Preservative against Deterioration of Tilapia Fillet Quality Based on Organoleptic Characteristics
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some improvements are needed</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Beside the short time period of survey, this is an interesting approach and valuable at the current circumstances of food safety, while it have high potential for expanding in both time and different species, both marine and freshwater, aquaculture or from the wild catches. The article can be improved quite if author replays to following points (reflected also in the manuscript with comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Give/describe in a paragraph issues related to Morina plant species/more details on this taxon, i.e. scientific name, taxonomy, conservation status (in case of being determined, etc.</li> <li>• Under the methods section/explain why there is no control test/probe or samples without any fish-filet treatment with extracts...</li> <li>• Authors need here to define advantage of using Friedman text compared to other numerous test-s</li> <li>• Under the section conclusions, authors need to address with one paragraph a further explanation on cost analyses under use of such treatment with plant extract, it would be of value in case of applications...</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Spase Shumka</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania</b>