

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_94913
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of Color Brightness on Clown Fish (<i>Amphiprion percula</i>) With Addition of Tomato Flour Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Reviewer's comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript needs major improvement and cannot be accept in the present form. <p>The writing (English) and organization of the manuscript needs major improvement. It is almost impossible to meaningfully read and comprehend the manuscript. The authors may consider help in the writing of the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Information to reproduce the study is lacking. 3. What is informed the choice of the dose of tomatoe powder? 4. The authors did not conduct toxicity studies to evaluate the toxicity of the tomato powder inclusion. 5. Presentation of data in Figures is very poor and needs major improvement. There may be need to include legend for the Figure to ease comprehension of the data by readers. 6. The results are misleading in some case. The authors may consider using better statistics. 7. The discussion of the study lack depth and needs major improvement. 8. Aspects of the conclusion is at variance with the information presented in discussion. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chidozie N. Okoye
Department, University & Country	University of Nigeria, Nigeria