

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJESS_95303                                |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Formative feedback in reading comprehension   |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article                                |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <b>Absolutely yes.</b><br><br><b>Yes.</b><br><br>The abstract should be rewritten to indicate the following: introduction, statement of the problem, methodology findings/conclusion.<br><br>Adequately appropriate.<br><br>Of course yes.<br><br>The references are enough, however, the citation should follow APA format as suggested in the manuscript. | Thanks for your comments  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | The mastery of the English language by the author is very good for scholarly communications.  | Thanks for your comments  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |