

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95289
Title of the Manuscript:	Teaching and Learning Strategies in Inclusive Public Primary Schools in Tanzania.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important since it addresses an emerging issue in education. The title is ok and the abstract comprehensive enough. There is need for the candidate to include key terms after the abstract. The structure is ok, however the major recommendations should be prominently brought out.</p> <p>The references are fairly recent and sufficient.</p> <p>Figure 1.0 should have its title at the bottom of the figure. It is more meaningful if bar graph was generated instead of line graph.</p> <p>Data from interviewed respondents is supposed to be italicized.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is ok. Rephrase the last sentence of the introduction to the study.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The section 'conceptualizing inclusive education ' is too long. The candidate can consider presenting salient issues in line with the topic. It would be nice to mention something on individualised instruction</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Kati
Department, University & Country	Kibabii University, Kenya