

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95289
Title of the Manuscript:	Teaching and Learning Strategies in Inclusive Public Primary Schools in Tanzania.
Type of the Article	Mixed research approach

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic is significant , especially as it concerns teaching strategies and inclusive education.</p> <p>The title is very adequate, but should remove "dot" at the end of the topic, as this is not a sentence.</p> <p>The abstract is well detailed but findings should indicate teaching and learning strategies, not methods. The recommendations should address teaching and learning strategies for inclusive education</p> <p>All the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and excellently applied</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The list of references is sufficient and recent, it has up-to-date information to this year 2022. The in-text-citation is good</p> <p>Other minor observations for improvement: Remove "dot" from the topic as it is not a sentence "Effective implementation" on line one of the abstract is not part of this study.</p> <p>Clarify the difference between teaching and learning strategies Vs Teaching and Learning methods at the abstract for easy assimilation. Revisit the recommendation at the abstract to address Teaching and Learning strategies . No recommendations at the body of the work! The word "Et al." must be italicized (<i>et al.</i>)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Honestly this work adhered to academic standard to a very high extent. I recommend this article for publication with very minor correction	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melody N. Modebelu
Department, University & Country	UNICAF, Nigeria