

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95273
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS IN EDUCATION AND POVERTY IN PERU
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? This article is quite interesting and contributes to poverty and education</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article appropriate? Better the title: Education and Poverty: a review of articles from the Cesar Vallejo database</p> <p>3. Is the article abstract complete? The abstract does not describe the results of the article review</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the text appropriate? Article is not yet comprehensive</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and up-to-date? If you have additional reference suggestions, please include them in the review form. It is necessary to add references in the form of international journals to discuss the results of article reviews</p> <p>It is necessary to compare with the results of reviews conducted by other researchers so that there is novelty</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Wakhidah
Department, University & Country	UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Indonesia