Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_95257**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Preventive Practices against Fraudulent Imitation of Information on Social Media Platforms among Senior High School Students**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**The author should be commended for the work but he or she needs to effect some minor corrections.** **I. The introductory paragraphs were not well linked. The author should see comment in the manuscript.** **II. The introduction needs a make-up since it serving as both introduction and literature review as well as statement of the problem.** **III. The work would have been better supposing it was guided by research questions.** **IV. Sample and sampling technique (see corrections in the main text).** **V. Under results and discussion, the tables should come before the analysis and discussion.** **VI. The recommendations should be tabulated with each one standing like a paragraph.** **VII. The author should delete words marked with red and apply those in green.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes, but he needs to thoroughly go through the whole work to correct some wrongly used tenses and punctuations	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Onwubiko Emmanuel Chidiadi**
Department, University & Country	**Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria**