

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95194
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Relationship of Self-Concept and Mathematics Performance of College Students in the New Normal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I believe it is a good research topic.</p> <p>The title is not clear. I think, it is better for you to write "...the new normal after COVID-19 pandemic".</p> <p>Rather. Mean±SD is used for description of quantitative variables and frequency (%) is used for categorical variables. In "Abstract" you wrote "Hence, it is recommended". The recommendation should be written at the end of "Discussion".</p> <p>"Objectives" and "Hypothesis" have been written as separate subsections of "Introduction". However, I think, it is better for you to write the research objectives in the last paragraph of "Introduction" not a separate sub-section. "Results" and "Discussion" are merged. You check whether it is correct or not with the "instructions for the author" on the journal's website.</p> <p>Almost</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>- How did you calculate the sample size? - How did you check for normality? -Please report significant level in subsection 2.5. -I suggest adding and comparing the findings of girls and boys.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shideh Rafati
Department, University & Country	Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Iran