

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95179
Title of the Manuscript:	WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION IN LEADERSHIP AND THE DECISION- MAKING PROCESS IN IBUMU AND IMAGE WARDS IN KILOLO DISTRICT IRINGA REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Area of study is important</b></p> <p>2. <b>Add name of country</b></p> <p>3. <b>The period of study/ year/ month/</b> <b>Keywords: add methodology ; country</b></p> <p>4. <b>The manuscript is well structured, but the author(s) should add the notation of sections</b></p> <p>5. <b>the scientific approach of the manuscript is well defined and respected.</b></p> <p><b>Section introduction: the author(s) doesn't/ don't mention the situation of women in the private sector. What is the situation of women in this sector? is There equality, equity and justice, does the private sector respect human rights /women's rights? The author(s) can present some data to show the inequalities between men and women in education, employment, ...</b></p> <p><b>Section literature review: it is not necessary to distinct between theoretical literature and empirical literature. Thus, subsections can be deleted.</b></p> <p>6. <b>There are mistakes in the name of the authors (references):</b> Baiber'a Heredia, Ramos, Sarri'o&amp; Candela, 2002; <b>Some references are not cited in the section of references:</b> Jacobs, 1999; Qadir (2017); Yoon (2011); Sipemba (2015); Cohen et al, 2011; ... <b>Some references are not cited in the body of the manuscript:</b> TNGP 9 (2005) <i>Gender, democracy and Development Digest: Post Election Analysis: The first 100 days Under the Leadership of President Jakaya Kikwete</i>; Ruth Meena (2009) <i>Women Participation of Power and Influence in Tanzania</i> (Paper presented during the 2009 REDET Conference) <i>Selected Primary Schools in Iringa, Tanzania.</i>; ...</p> <p><b>Some references are not well cited :</b> Carli/Eagly 2011 and Paustian Underdahl/ Walker/Woehr (2014)</p> <p><b>Site of <a href="http://www.ideas.int/publication">www.ideas.int/publication</a>:</b> it is not a reference, it should be deleted.</p> <p>UN (2017). United Nations. This is citation is incorrect: the author(s) should use title, date, link...</p> <p>→The references should be checked</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Revision	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aicha EL Alaoui</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco</b>