

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_94989</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Participatory budgeting and its impact on the social development of a city in Peru</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Participatory budgeting has an impact on social development. This topic is good and needs to be considered for research study as it provides vision regarding improvement of any region's social development.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Abstract must be divided into purpose of study, methodology, findings, originality, keywords</b> <b>Not much</b></p> <p><b>Correct</b></p> <p><b>Yes, references are sufficient</b></p> <p><b>Specify:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mention in spate head "Objectives of the study".</li> <li>2. Importance of the study in the present context needs to be written.</li> <li>3. The methodology needs to be revisited and revised (The researcher needs to specify why he has chosen this study design, research design, why the sample is chosen as per convenience, what is criteria of choosing the sample are, sample makes the study valid and conclusive.</li> <li>4. Results need to be supported by any theoretical and practical concepts. Results and discussion need to be revisited so that justification for the conclusion of the study and reasons should be mentioned where it's required.</li> <li>5. Limitations of the study</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, needs an improvement</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shubhi Agarwal
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, India