

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_94965
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL FEEDING: IS THE GHANA EDUCATION SERVICE NECESSARY IN ITS OPERATIONS?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Required to understand the role of GES in school feeding. Also it will help education planners to understand the current scenario and recommend accordingly</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Little more clarity on the objective is required</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The objectives, methodology and beneficiaries has to be more clear</p> <p>2017 is the last year as indicated in the reference. If 1 or 2 papers as part of recent study would be an added advantage.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes . Can be better but adequate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Topic and idea are good. Seminal or grounded theory evidence is very minimal in the literature review. Methodology is not clear especially the sampling frame and allied techniques . Objectives are not stated properly. Authors should address the above critical aspects prior to publication</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.Meenakumari
Department, University & Country	India