

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_94906
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE CONFLICT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES USED BY ADMINISTRATORS: A CASE STUDY OF SELECTED MISSION SCHOOLS IN MONZE DISTRICT, ZAMBIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research topic is relevant and interesting.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>it is necessary to present approaches, conflict management strategies in the introduction</p> <p>It is necessary to clarify which part of the problem is not sufficiently studied, i.e. what part of the problem will the author solve in his research</p> <p>It is important to determine the purpose of the study.</p> <p>Opinions of individual respondents should not be included in a research article. The author should present the results of his research, obtained based on the opinions of the respondents, in a generalized form. The author should not include individual opinions of the respondents in the article.</p> <p>Conclusions should base on the purpose of the work, i.e. conclusions should confirm the achievement of the goal set by the author. Since the target is not defined, the conclusions are inconclusive. In conclusions, recommendations should related to the research topic.</p> <p>The abstract must indicate the relevance of the topic, the main results of the study</p>	
Optional/General comments	The article should be revised	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivanova Valentyna
Department, University & Country	University of Educational Management, National Academy of Educational Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine