

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_94694</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>LEARNING ENVIRONMENT SUPPORT IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPETENCE-BASED CURRICULUM FOR PUPILS' LEARNING IN MPWAPWA DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> The title written is not quite right with the contents of the manuscript. preferably need to be adjusted by writing "based on perspective ..."</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> write down data analysis techniques</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> starting with writing a general statement of the problem then narrowing down to the problem, expectations, and gap analysis. followed by writing the solution as a research objective. The review literature in the introduction needs to be compressed only the important part. covers about 20% of the entire body of the article.</p> <p>Table writing style needs to be adjusted to the journal template.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> even better if the important points from the results of interviews and observations need to be made in a table showing comparisons based on the results of interviews with various informants.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Distinguish between summary and conclusion. In an article, it is often enough to write a conclusion, not a summary. then followed by research recommendations as a form of contribution to the development of science.</p> <p><b>References:</b> up to date references that are out of date (approx. 5 last years) using Mendeley or etc.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mohamad Joko Susilo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic University of Indonesia, Indonesia</b>