

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_95214
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Phytoplankton Abundance and Species Diversity in Shahjangi Pond of Bhagalpur District, Bihar (India)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the author(s) attempt to explore a very significant area in the aquatic ecology The title is okay with little adjustment (i.e Study of Phytoplankton Abundance and Species Diversity in Shahjangi Pond of Bhagalpur District, Bihar - India Yes Yes, with little modification Some of the cited references were very old. Authors need to replace with recent ones and use the same format all through.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderately okay.	
Optional/General comments	More recent references need to be cited to clearly discuss the findings of the study more clearly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mathias Nzitiri Bwala
Department, University & Country	Nigeria