

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95427
Title of the Manuscript:	The Analysis of Repurchase Intention in Online Transaction of A Marketplace, Shopee (A Case study: Customers of Fashion Products in Indonesia)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript involves a research of a hot topic in the view of the relevant literature therefore it can be considered as scientifically important.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is sufficient.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is fine however "limitations and further studies" subsections could be added. But the relevancy of the below given paragraph could not be understood and it must be deleted from the text. "Renewable energy resources: These are energy derived from natural resources that are replenished at a higher rate than they are consumed. For instance, sunlight, wind, and water are such sources that are constantly being replenished. Wind energy is utilized by wind gauges, solar energy is utilized by solar panels and the kinetic energy derived from motion in water is utilized by dams."</p> <p>5. The manuscript seems to be scientifically correct since it is based on academic research and methodology.</p> <p>6. The references seem to be enough.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In general the language is understood but there are some minor grammatical errors. Most specifically, the paragraphs below the table on page 33 should be rewritten so they could be given in a more correct and concise way.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Spell check should be performed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nilay Şahin
Department, University & Country	Turkey