

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJEBA_95423 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Intensification Dimension of Prices Cryptocurrency With Hamiltonian Quantum Mechanics |
| Type of the Article | Original research |
| Date received | December 31, 2022 |
| Date due | January 06, 2023 |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is good, it represents the paper in its parts. Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction and background are appropriately clear and streamlined. Used relevant references and updated to support the subject. However, add how is your paper divided...</p> <p>The Methodology representation is clear. Includes the model proposed and explains the work intended. The results section is clear, with adequate data tables and figures. However, Write your decimals with dots not commas!!! Check all your Tables...</p> <p>The Discussion is adequate, clear and streamlined. However, this section needs attention to the citations writing style...</p> <p>Conclusion is adequate. One part needs rewriting for clarity. Implications and recommendations are to be added</p> <p>REVIEW CAREFULLY THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCY, COMPLETENESS, AND TO MATCH THE JOURNAL'S REQUIREMENTS.... MAKE SURE YOU REPORT THESE REFERENCES RIGHT !!</p> <p>Proofreading is needed [see suggestions]</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Hussin Jose Hejase |
| Department, University & Country | Al Maaref University, Lebanon |