

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95396
Title of the Manuscript:	SPILLOVER EFFECT FROM GLOBAL STOCK MARKETS TO INDIAN STOCK MARKET
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is good for scientific community with a well stated abstract. The hypothesis was adequately stated with appropriate methodology. The analysis was properly carried out with a well discussed result of findings The title of the article is suitable Yes No. There is a need for proper arrangement of the paper Yes Yes. However, the reference style need some adjustment to conform with the journal standard	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This could be improved upon	
Optional/General comments	The paper is generally above average and recommended for publication after identified adjustment.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Ebum Araoye
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria