

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95382
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Innovation Leads the Construction of "One Belt, One Road"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The title of the induct that is very important, however, the construction of the research did not show that unless it reorganized as mentioned in this review</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is good</p> <p>Yes, but it needs to add conclusion and recommendations at the end of the research which are very essential and in the sometime needs to separate the introduction from the body of the research, therefore the research need to be reorganized to have introduction the body of the research, conclusions and recommendations.</p> <p>The manuscript needs more work and put citation to the research as there are pages without citation.</p> <p>The research is subjective research not analytical research and not depend on data analysis so that the researcher needs to add references to most of the paragraphs of the study as there are many paragraphs without citation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	More or less is good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The research needs more work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akram Masoud Haddad
Department, University & Country	Amity University, UAE