

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_94923
Title of the Manuscript:	INDONESIA SHARIA BANK CSR VS MALAYSIA SHARIA BANK CSR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1.If the researcher would have provided statistical data on each CSR theme selected for the study, research will have more rational 2.Needed more clarification on employee theme and corporate governance theme on comparative grounds 3.if mentioned how CSR initiatives will resulted in improved banking good will in both countries	
Optional/General comments	Need to mention what is the basis for choosing 7banks from each country and also why the researcher has selected only 2016-2020 period for the study. Discussion seemed to be more qualitative.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Padala Rama Krishna
Department, University & Country	Swarna Bharathi Institute of Science and Technology, India