

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_95365
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Bhramari Pranayama in Hypertension Management: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Patient 2 had blood pressure measuring 116/87 mmHg which reduced to 117/78 mmHg after 90 days. <b>????</b></p> <p>Perhaps in the second case, it is necessary to write a decrease in diastolic pressure only.</p> <p>In the second patient, after breathing exercises (humming bee breath), Heart rate, beats per min increased from 63 to 107 and is significantly higher than the norm. Maybe there were violations in the performance of exercises or some other reasons?</p> <p>1. Yes 2. Patient 2 had blood pressure measuring 116/87 mmHg which reduced to 117/78 mmHg after 90 days. <b>????</b> 3. Yes 4. The article needs statistical processing. 5. The list of references is new.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>In the second patient, after breathing exercises (humming bee breath), Heart rate, beats per min increased from 63 to 107 and is significantly higher than the norm. Maybe there were violations in the performance of exercises or some other reasons?</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nataliya Kitsera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Hereditary Pathology of National Academy Medical Science of Ukraine, Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Ukraine</b>