

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_95392
Title of the Manuscript:	Haemosuccus pancreaticus: A RARE CASE OF UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL BLEED
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes it is important to report a case of Haemosuccus pancreaticus for community for diagnostic purpose</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract is proper</p> <p>4. Subsections needs corrections as follows; Case report : English language revision is need. Some investigation details relevant to case are missing. CECT Angiography image is missing Citation of images is missing Discussion: following things are missing in discussion part Epidemiology Etiology Diagnosis :please mentioned All radiology modality that can be used to diagnose the case , also mention merits and demerits of each and every modality.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References are sufficient but they are old ,please include recent references If possible kindly include or refer following reference</p> <p>1. Sahoo, B., Panigrahi, M.K., Nayak, H.K. <i>et al.</i> Haemosuccus pancreaticus: a diagnostic challenge and its management through interventional radiology. <i>Egypt J Radiol Nucl Med</i> 53, 68 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43055-022-00744-w</p> <p>2. Hemosuccus Pancreaticus Alfarooq Alshaikhli; Alsadiq Al-Hillan.</p> <p>Figures : Legends of figures should be write in detail, like CT Image, angiography image, arrow for pathology and post treatment</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English is poor	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Concept of presenting the manuscript is also good. Some major revision is needed from my aspect and then and then only manuscript would be acceptable.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kajal Patel
Department, University & Country	IKDRC & ITS, India