

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_95304
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case Series on Complicated Diaphragmatic Hernias in Adults
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, it is. 2. No, it is not. Very long. It is better: Complicated Diaphragmatic Hernias in Adults: a case series. 3. Yes, it is. 4. Yes, they are.  5. Yes, it is, but it needs some corrections (attached document).  6. Yes, they are. I do not have suggestions.  I provide the article (attached document) with all the corrections that are necessary.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comment 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, it is, but it requires some corrections (attached document).	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I provide the article (attached document) with all the corrections that are necessary.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José-María Sánchez-Merino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Hospital A Coruña, Spain</b>