

Review Form 1.7

t

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_95088
Title of the Manuscript:	ADENOID CYSTIC CARCINOMA OF THE EXTERNAL AUDITORY: ABOUT A CASE
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This is a rare case report and of clinical importance but the case report lacks plenty of necessary information required for a case report to be published and used by others as a reference.</p> <p>The construction of the abstract seems unorganized and seems relatively inappropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript doesn't fulfill the scientific parameters required for a case report.</p> <p>A few references are more than ten years old.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are plenty of grammatical and punctuation errors and unsuitable for publication.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript requires a significant supplementation of information as well as correction before it can be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Rajbhandari
Department, University & Country	University of New Haven, United States of America