

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_94377
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A MODERNIZED CASSAVA GRATING MACHINE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is scientifically sound but However, there are still some minor corrections in the paper. The author is suggested to make some revisions so that the paper will be better and free from grammatical errors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include objective (need and significance) of the research in the aim of the abstract. 2. Figure 1 was given twice. Revise the numbering of figures. 3. 2D and 3D figures of the machine are fine but text is not clear and visible. Improve the clarity of the figures. 4. Sub headings 2.2.2 & 2.2.3 are not required. Remove in the manuscript. 5. Remove section 3.1. Since abbreviations are discussed in the calculation part. 6. Mention reference for every constant or value taken in the calculation. 7. Results should be elaborated by varying the independent variables. 8. Please recheck all references and revise; Topics in the references should be written with the initial capital. <p>Ex: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add at least 3 most recent research works to the Introduction. 2. Instead of materials used for fabrication, include specifications of the parts in the table. 3. In the result & discussion part, you can include the change in throughput capacity with speed of operations. 4. Avoid unnecessary spacings between the paragraphs 5. Include cost economics if possible. 	
Optional/General comments	Submitted manuscript is original and therefore suitable for publication if some corrections are made to make it better.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S Sai Mohan
Department, University & Country	Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, India