

Original Research Article

Influence of planting time and variety on the growth, development, and yield of

Tomato

Abstract: Tomato growth and reproductive properties, particularly fruit yield, are significantly influenced by planting time and variety. In this study, eight open-pollinated tomato cultivars were planted at two different planting periods (December 18th, 2019 and January 18th, 2020) and were used to determine the most effective planting time for tomato cultivation. Different sowing dates and varieties have had a substantial significant impacts on tomato yields. The highest number of fruits per plant were recorded in BARI tomato-3 (46.87), followed by BARI tomato-14 (43.22), while the minimum in Marglobe (13.00) in December planting. Khattabegun variety had the highest number of fruits per plant (14.17), followed by BARI tomato-3 (14.02) and BARI tomato-14 (13.97) in January planting. BARI tomato-14 produced the highest yield (38.55 ton/ha¹), followed by BARI tomato-3 (38.16 ton/ha¹) in December planting, while the lowest yield was found in Riograndi (9.17 ton/ha¹). In January planting, the maximum highest yield was found in BARI tomato-15 (12.10 tons/ha¹), while the minimum lowest yield was observed in Khattabegun (6.25 tons/ha¹). Considering the studied factors features that contribute to increased yields and disease tolerance, the combination of December planting with BARI Tomato-14 is was more effective uerative.

Key words: Tomato, (Solanum lycopersicum L.); pPlanting time, Variety, Yield, Diseases tolerance, Pests tolerance

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Formatted: Paper main

1. Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is the World's second most significant and consumed vegetable crop, with a global production of 186,821,216 metric tons and cultivated on 5,051,983 hectares in 2020 (FAOSTAT, 2022). Because of its multiple uses, nutritional advantages, and the rapid changes in global food systems, the tomato is currently the most important marketable vegetable crop in the world (FAOSTAT, 2022; Vats et al., 2020). Annual tomato output has expanded by more than six-fold in the previous fifty years, while global traffic in tomatoes and tomato products has increased by about tenfold. Furthermore, from 1961 to 2018 per capita, tomato consumption increased dramatically from 8 kg to 21.17 kg per day (FAOSTAT, 2020). Tomatoes contribute significantly to human nutrition since they are a good source of vitamins, essential minerals, macronutrients, bioactive compounds, and antioxidants, all of which help to prevent cancer, cardiovascular disease, eye, nerve, and other diseases (Alam et al., 2019; Asiry et al. 2022; Hossain et al., 2019; Huda et al., 2022; Sommer and Vyas, 2012; Tomlinson et al., 2017; Vats et al., 2020; Young and Lowe, 2018).

Tomato quality is affected by climate, growing medium and plant nutrition (Jankauskieno, 2013). Planting periods are highly correlated with local climatic variability. Different sowing dates and types have a significant effect on tomato yield (Rahman et al., 2020). Plant maturity, harvesting time, yield, and crop quality can all be affected by planting time. At the pre-flowering and blooming stages, the high temperature (32⁰C) significantly reduced photosynthetic rate, number of fruits,

individual fruit weight, and fruit output per plant. Temperature impacts were more during blooming than pre-flowering (Islam, 2011).

Agronomic practices have long been recognized as critical to crop nutrition (~~Barrett et al., 2007~~; Sourı and Dehnavard, 2018). The nutritional value of tomatoes is controlled by the tomato variety and harvest maturity (Erba et al., 2013). Delayed planting reduces tomato plant heights, fruit set, fruit weights, and yields. Appropriate planting dates boost production while improving vegetable quality (Kleinhenz and Wszelaki, 2003). It is in high demand throughout the year, while most of the tomato production in Bangladesh takes place in winter (Biswas et al., 2017; Islam et al., 2017). The planting time also affects the fruit yield and quality (Tomar et al., 2018).

Comment [NRM1]: Use more recent reference

In the eastern side of Bangladesh, including the Chittagong Hill Tracts areas, farmers have been cultivating tomato between October to March without knowing the best time for planting tomatoes. Due to a lack of knowledge of planting time, the tomato growers had not got maximum production though they used ~~modern~~-improved varieties. Therefore, the experiment was conducted to investigate the impact of planting times and varieties on tomato performance in the eastern regions of Bangladesh.

2. Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted during Robi season of 2019-2020 at the RARS research field, Hathazari, Chittagong, Bangladesh. During the experimental period, the data of different environmental factors i.e., maximum and minimum rainfall, maximum and minimum temperature, and relative humidity (RH) was obtained from weather station of RARS research field, Hathazari (Table 1). A total of eight tomato varieties, including four BARI varieties (BARI tomato 2, BARI tomato 3, BARI tomato 14, and BARI tomato 15) and four commercial varieties (Marglobe, Roma VF, Riograndi, and

Khattabegun), were used in this study. December 18th, 2019 and January 18th, 2020 were the dates for the first and second plantings, respectively of 30-days old seedlings in the main field, respectively. Planting period and varietal effect were used as variables in the experiment with a split-plot design. Plot dimensions were 4m × 3m with a plant spacing of 70cm× 45cm. Urea, TSP, MoP, and Gypsum were applied at 500kg, 200kg, 200kg, and 100kg ha⁻¹ per hectare of land, respectively, in addition to the 10 ton ha⁻¹s of cow manure. Half of the cow dungmanure, all TSP, and all MoP were applied to the land during final land preparation. The remaining cow manure was utilized to prepare the pit. The total amount of urea was applied in three equal instalments at 21, 35, and 45 days. Intercultural operations were carried out when needed. Days to 50% flowering, plant heights at first harvest, individual fruit weights, lengths and girthbreadth, and fruits per plant were recorded. The CROP STAT application was used to analyse the collected data. Before analysis, disease and insect infestation data were square-root ($[x + 0.5]$) normalized and the original values were given in parenthesis.

3. Results

There were significant differences among the variables in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4. Some crucial parameters showed significant differences in tomato varieties ($p \leq 0.05$). Plant height at first harvest was found to be significantly affected by the relation between planting times and varieties. Local tomato variety Khattabegun was proven to have the highest plant height (118.40 cm and 108.84 cm) at both planting times, whereas BARI tomato-14 was shown to have the second-highest plant height (111.47 cm and 91.07 cm). The commercial variety Roma VF had the was found to have the lowest plant height of at both planting times (46.15cm and 41.01cm during the firsts

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Comment [NRM2]: Indicate which tomato varieties that were significantly taller compare to others

~~and second planting times, respectively).~~ Days of 50% flowering ~~were~~ significantly affected by both planting times and varieties. Roma VF took the maximum number of days (50.67 and 41.49) to reach 50% flowering, whereas BARI tomato 2 required the minimum number of days (50.67 and 41.49) to reach 50% flowering (Table 2).

The number of fruit clusters per plant showed ~~was a~~ significant ~~affected by tomato variety and planting time effect.~~ Riograndi had the highest number of fruit clusters per plant (12.15), followed by BARI tomato 14, BARI tomato 15, and BARI tomato 3 (10.67, 10.47, and 10.27, respectively) in December planting. During the initial planting, Khattabegunes had the lowest number of fruit clusters per plant (6.24) (Table 2).

~~There was a significant correlation between planting time and the number of fruits per plant.~~ In the initial planting, BARI tomato-3 had the highest number of fruits per plant (46.87), followed by BARI tomato-14 (43.22), while Marglobe had the ~~fewest lowest~~ number of fruits per plant (13.00). During the second planting time, BARI tomato-3 and BARI tomato-14 were second and third with 14.02 and 13.97 fruits per plant, respectively.

~~Tomato yields Yield per plot showed varied significant differences in with both planting times and varieties.~~ In December planting, BARI tomato-14 had the highest yield ~~per plot~~ ($\dots \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) (48.26 kg), followed by BARI tomato-3 ($\dots \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) (46.33 kg), while lowest yield ~~per plots~~ was recorded in Riograndi ($\dots \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) (10.77 kg). For second planting, BARI tomato-15 produced the highest yields ~~per plot~~ ($\dots \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) (44.30 kg), while Khattabegun had the ~~production was~~ the lowest yield ($\dots \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) (7.5 kg) (Table 2).

Comment [NRM3]: Indicate which tomato varieties were significant from the others in terms of number of fruit clusters.

Comment [NRM4]: No table indicating correlations.

Comment [NRM5]: Planting time had significant effect on the number of fruits per plant ($p \leq 0.05$)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Individual fruit weights ~~were~~ significantly affected by planting times and varieties. Individual fruit weights of 168.54g~~m~~ and 97.29g~~m~~ were recorded for Marglobe, while 70.49g~~m~~ and 63.53g~~m~~ were recorded for Khattabegunes in December and January, respectively (Table 3). ~~For fruit length, there was a strong time-variety relation but planting time had no significant effect.~~ The maximum fruit length was recorded in Marglobe 6.30 cm in December and 5.87 cmin January, whereas the minimum fruit length was observed in Khattabegun(3.94 cm and 3.91 cm), respectively (Table 3). For fruit ~~breadthgirth~~, there was a ~~substantial time-variety interaction~~, but there was no significant effect of planting time. BARI tomato-2 had the highest fruit ~~breadth-girth~~of 6.17 cm during December planting, whereas the lowest fruit ~~breadth-girth~~was 2.46 cm in Khattabegunes. When the BARI tomato-14 was planted in January, the maximum fruit ~~breadth-girth~~was 5.86 cm and the minimum was 2.88 cm.

~~Total yields of tomato varied significantly with planting time and variety.~~ ~~The relation between time and variety was significant for total yield.~~ Total yield of 38.55 tons~~per hectare~~^{ha⁻¹} was recorded in December planting for BARI tomato-14, followed by BARI tomato-3 with 38.16 tons~~per hectare~~^{ha⁻¹}. The lowest yield was in Riograndi (9.17 ton~~ha⁻¹~~) in December planting. In January planting, the highest total yield was recorded in BARI tomato-15 (12.10 tons~~ha⁻¹~~), while the lowest total yield was observed inKhattabegun(6.25 ton ~~ha⁻¹s-per-hectare~~) (Table 3).

~~Levels of infections due to bacterial wilt disease did not significantly vary with planting time and tomato~~~~There was no statistically significant interaction between time and variety for bacterial wilt disease.~~ Level of bBacterial wilt disease ~~infection was infected by~~ 6.9% and 5.48% in Riograndi variety~~plots~~, whereas the Khattabegun variety ~~was~~plotsinfected by 1.34% and 1.12% in December and January planting,

Comment [NRM6]: The table is does not show relationships . Fruit lengths were significantly influenced by plating time and tomato variety.

Comment [NRM7]: There is no table indicating interactions between tome and tomato variety

Comment [NRM8]: Indicate which tomato varieties that varied signficantly from the others

Comment [NRM9]: The table doe snot show the relation between planting time and variety

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

respectively (Table 4). Early-blight infestations were significantly influenced by both the planting time and tomato variety. During December planting, Roma VF had the highest infestation rate of (2.41%), while BARI tomato-3, BARI tomato-15, Marglobe, and the local variety had no significant differences. There was no infestation in BARI tomato-3, BARI tomato-15, and Khattabegun, while Riograndi had 4.13% infection by early blight plots were infested during January planting of Riograndi (Table 4). There were no significant differences in number of fruits infected by fruit borer ($p > 0.05$). The number of infected fruits per plot were highest in BARI tomato 2 (2.15%), followed by BARI tomato 3 (2.23%) in December and January planting, respectively. In both cases, there was no infestation observed in the Khattabegun variety. There was no significant differences in In case of virus infestation, the interaction was found non significant between planting time and variety. The highest virus infested plant was found in Marglobe (3.27%) and the lowest in BARI tomato 14 and BARI tomato 15 (1.12%) at December planting but in January planting, the maximum infestation was recorded in Khattabegun (2.90%) while no viral infestation was observed in BARI tomato 3 and BARI tomato 14 (Table 4).

4. Discussion

The planting time and variety had significantly impact on the growth, as well as yield and yield components of tomatoes. Planting times influenced plant heights (cm), fruit yields (g), total yields (ton/ha), diseases, and pest infestations (Afreen et al., 2017). Tomato growths and yields were influenced by environmental conditions (Light, temperature, CO₂, humidity, soil moisture), fertilizer, farming system, and plant genetic materials as reported by influenced crop performance in the field (Souri and Sooraki (2019; Weston, 1988; Ciardi et al., 1998; Vavrina, 1998; Damato and Trotta, 2000; Paul

~~and Metzger, 2005~~). According to Jong et al. (2009) environmental factors affect the commencement of fruit setting and growth. Gent (1992) found that delayed planting of heat-tolerant early tomato varieties delayed fruit maturity by two weeks. With enough time for growing, the highest plant heights ~~were~~ observed after first transplanting ~~that was done on 18th December 18~~. The impact of transplanting time on environmental temperature is mostly shown in ~~height of the plant~~ ~~plant~~ ~~heights~~ (Islam et al., 2017). Srivastava and Srivastava (2007) found that seedling transplanting time affects tomato plant heights. Tomato plant heights decreased after late planting owing to environmental conditions. The environment controls the fruit yield per plant (Islam et al., 2017). On the other hand, Drost and Price (1991) found that early transplanting increased fruit yield of tomato planted on 18th December 18 which gave the most exquisite fruits, maybe it's because of the long growing season and the ideal day-night temperature for fruit development. Tomato ~~p~~Plant ~~cultivated~~ on 18th January had ~~18~~produced the lowest number of fruits and yields per plant. In this case, the highest yield was achieved by planting tomatoes in December, which is corroborated by earlier studies (Ahammad et al., ~~2009~~2013; Rogers and Wszelaki, 2012; ~~Sharma and Tiwari, 1996~~). Late planting ~~that was done on 18th January 18~~ had the lowest yields. Late planting had significant effect on tomato growth, yields, and yield components. Islam et al. (2017) observed early planting increased tomato production. The 18th of December transplant improved all yield-related characters compared to the 18th January transplants. Sanjoy (1999) reported a decline in fruit yield and other yield-related traits in recent plantings.

During blossom and ripening stages of late-cultured plants, they were affected by harsh conditions such as high temperatures and humidity. A study by Preedy and Watson

Comment [NRM10]: Use more recent reference

Comment [NRM11]: Use more recent reference

Comment [NRM12]: Use more recent reference. This one is not even in the list of references

Comment [NRM13]: Use more recent reference

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Comment [NRM14]: Very old reference. Use more recent reference

(2008) demonstrated that tomatoes thrive in high altitudes with little humidity and high light intensity. Fruit set percentage and fruit weight per plant declined when the daily mean temperature was 29°C, compared to 25 °C (Harel, 2014). The decline in production is mainly due to the decrease in pollen viability and poor pollen development (Sato et al., 2006). According to the findings, planting in December led to results in more blossoms and fruit compared to than planting in January planting. This result is consistent with the previous studies.

Comment [NRM15]: Replace with more recent reference

Comment [NRM16]: Use more recent reference

Planting time manipulation affects the diversity and abundance of different insect pests; therefore, it is considered as one of the crucial factors for reducing the infestation by insect pests to get optimum yield in tomato (Afreen et al., 2017). In the present study it was observed that the infestation caused by Bacterial wilt, Early blight, fruit borer, and virus were more observed on tomato planted on 18th in December than those planted on 18th planting in January, more especially on 18th planting in BARI tomato-2, BARI tomato-14, and BARI tomato-15. Highest The higher infestation was observed caused by bacterial wilt, Early blight, and fruit borer were observed in tomato planted on 18th in January 18 planting compared to those planted on 18th December. 18 planting vVirus in BARI tomato 3 and Roma VF except virus infection; virus infection was higher in BARI tomato-3 planted on 18th December 18 compared to those planted on 18th in January 18 planting in BARI tomato-3, while Roma VF was not infected by virus. Marglobe variety planted on 18th December was more susceptible to highly infested by Early blight, fruit borer, and virus compred when in December 18 planting compared to on 18th January 18 planting, while, bacterial wilt infestation was opposite trend. The infestation by early blight, fruit borer, and virus was high late planting compared to early planting in Variety Riograndi

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

but bacterial wilt infestation was high in early planting than late planting. Moreover, Khattabegun variety showed high infestation by bacterial wilt when planted on 18th in December ~~18 planting compared when planted on 18th fed to~~ January ~~18 planting~~ and virus infestation showed opposite trend. The same tomato variety did not show susceptibility to ~~but no infestation was observed by~~ early blight and fruit borer ~~in this variety~~. However, most of the varieties were more susceptible to highly infected by Bacterial wilt, early blight, fruit borer, and virus when planted on 18th in December ~~18 planting~~ compared to 18th January ~~18 planting~~. A study ~~was~~ conducted by Afreen et al. (2017), indicated that they observed that planting ~~of~~ tomato on in 10th December was is effective in controlling for insect pests' infestation and increasing fruit yields ~~reduction with better yield~~. Waluniba and Ao (2014) also indicated that tomato planted on 4th December ~~were highly infested by a~~ Aphids and whiteflies, wy showed higher infestation in December 4 planting while those planted on 19th December ~~were more prone to~~ leaf miner and tomato fruit borer attacks ~~showed higher infestation in December 19 planting in Tomato (Waluniba and Ao, 2014)~~.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

5. Conclusion

The growth and yield of tomato was significantly affected by ~~different~~ planting dates and varieties. Planting tTomato planting on 18th December ~~18 using with~~ BARI tomato-14 and r followed by BARI tomato-3 varieties leads to is suitable for optimum fruit yields with less disease and pest infestations. However, Khattabegunes (local variety) showed high tolerance t capacity againstto bacterial wilt, early blight, and virus which may be used as breeding materials for biotic stress tolerance in tomato ~~variety~~.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Superscript

References

Afreen S, Rahman MM, Islam MMU, Hasan M, Islam AKMS (2017). Management of insect pests in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under different planting dates and mechanical support. Journal of Science, Technology and Environment Informatics. 5 (1): 336-346. <https://doi.org/10.18801/jstei.050117.36>

Ahammad K, Siddiky M, Ali Z, Ahmed R (2013). Effects of Planting Time on the Growth and Yield of Tomato Varieties in Late Season. Progressive Agriculture 20 (1-2): 73-78.

Alam MS, Huda MN, Rahman MS, Azad AKM, Rahman MM et al (2019). Character association and path analysis of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research 22 (1): 1815-1822. DOI: 10.18801/jbar.220119.223.

Asiry KA, Huda MN, Mousa MAA (2022). Abundance and Population Dynamics of the Key Insect Pests and Agronomic Traits of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) Varieties under Different Planting Densities as a Sustainable Pest Control Method. Horticulturae.; 8(10):976. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8100976>.

~~Barrett DM, Weakley C, Diaz JV, Watnik M (2007). Qualitative and nutritional differences in processing tomatoes grown under commercial organic and conventional production system. Journal of Food Science 72 (9): 441-451.~~

Biswas A, Arafat Y, Islam MS, Dey S, Sarker S (2017). Growth and yield performances of tomato genotypes during winter season at eastern surma kushiyara floodplain of Bangladesh. Journal of Sylhet Agricultural University 4 (1): 77-85.

~~Ciardi JA, Vavrina CS, Orzolek MD (1998). Evaluation of tomato transplant production methods for improving establishment rates. HortScience 33 (2): 229-232.~~

~~Damato G, Trotta L (2000). Cell shape, transplant age, cultivars and yield in broccoli. Acta Horticulturae 533: 145-152.~~

Drost DT, Price HC (1991). Effect of tillage system and planting date on growth and yield of transplanted tomato. HortScience 26: 1478-1480.

Comment [NRM17]: Replace with more recent reference

Erba D, Casiraghi MC, Ribas A, Caceres R, Marfa O et al (2013). Nutritional value of tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) grown in greenhouse by different agronomic techniques. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 31 (2): 245-251.

FAOSTAT (2022). FAOSTAT statistical database. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC/visualize>. Accessed 17 May 2022.

~~Gent MPN (1992). Yield response to planting date and ventilation temperature of tomato grown in unheated high tunnels in the northeast USA. Acta Horticulturae 303: 53-60.~~

Comment [NRM18]: Replace it with more recent reference

Harel D, Fadida H, Slepoy A, Gantz S, Shilo K (2014). The Effect of Mean Daily Temperature and Relative Humidity on Pollen, Fruit Set and Yield of Tomato Grown in Commercial Protected Cultivation. Agronomy 4: 167-177.

Hossain MG, Ali MA, Ripa RA, Ayrin S, Mahmood S (2019). Influence of Rootstocks on Yield and Quality of Summer Tomato cv. 'BARI Tomato-4'. Earth Systems and Environment. 3(2): 289-300. DOI: 10.1007/s41748-019-00101-4.

Huda MN, Hossain S, Jahan T, Ali MA, Hossain MG (2022). Effect of planting density on growth, development and yield of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*

L.).International Journal of Biosciences (IJB) 21(3):209-214. DOI: 10.12692/ijb/21.3.209-214.

Islam MT (2011). Effect of temperature on photosynthesis, yield attributes and yield of tomato genotypes. International journal of experimental agriculture 2(1): 8-11.

Islam S, Islam MM, Siddik MA, Afsana N, Rabin MH et al (2017). Variation in Growth and Yield of Tomato at Different Transplanting Time. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications 7(2): 142-145.

Jankauskieno J, Brazaityto A, Bobinas Y, Duchovskis P (2013). Effect of transplant growth stage on tomato productivity. Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus 12 (2): 143-152.

Jong MD, Mariani C, Vriezen WH (2009). The role of auxin and gibberellin in tomato fruit set. Journal of Experimental Botany 60(5): 1523-1532.

Comment [NRM19]: Replace it with recent reference

Kleinhenz MD, Wszelaki A (2003). Yield and relationships among head traits in cabbage as influenced by planting date and cultivar. Horticultural Science 38: 1349-1354.

~~Paul LC, Metzger JD (2005). Impact of vermicompost on vegetable transplant quality. HortScience 40 (7): 2020-2023.~~

Preedy VR, Watson RR (Eds.) (2008). Tomatoes and tomato products: Nutritional, medicinal and therapeutic properties. Science Publisher, USA 27-45. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439843390>.

Comment [NRM20]: Replace with more recent reference

Rahman J, Riad MI, Begum AA (2020). Effect of planting time and variety on the growth and yield of tomato. Tropical Agroecosystems 1 (2): 67-69.

Sanjoy S (1999). Impact of seedling age and planting time on yield performance of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in upland rice (*Oryza sativa*) based cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy 44 (4): 669-672.

Sato S, Kamiyama M, Iwata T, Makita N, Furukawa H et al (2006). Moderate increase of mean daily temperature adversely affects fruit set of *Lycopersicon esculentum* by disrupting specific physiological processes in male reproductive development. Annals of Botany 97: 731-738.

Comment [NRM21]: Use more recent reference

~~Sharma NK, Tiwari RS (1996). Effect of time of planting on yield and yield contributing characters of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Recent Horticulture 3 (1): 82-85.~~

Sommer A, Vyas KS (2012). A global clinical view on vitamin A and carotenoids. The American journal of Clinical Nutrition 96 (5): 1204S-1206S.

Souri MK, Dehnavard S (2018). Tomato plant growth, leaf nutrient concentrations and fruit quality under nitrogen foliar applications. Advances in Horticultural Science 32 (1): 41-47.

Souri MK, Sooraki YF (2019). Benefits of organic fertilizers spray on growth quality of chili pepper seedlings under cool temperature. Journal of Plant Nutrition 42 (6): 650-656.

Tomar S, Dubey AK, Chaudhary M, Singh JP, Jeevan R (2018). Effect of Different Dates of Transplanting and Mulching on Flowering and Fruiting Behaviour of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience 6 (3): 676-680.

Tomlinson ML, Butelli E, Martin C, Carding SR (2017). Flavonoids from engineered tomatoes inhibit gut barriers pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, via SAPK/JNK and p38 MAPK pathways. *Frontiers in Nutrition* 4: 61.

Vats S, Bansal R, Rana N, Kumawat S, Bhatt V et al (2020). Unexplored nutritive potential of tomato to combat global malnutrition. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 1003-1034. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1832954>.

Vavrina CS (1998). Transplant age in vegetable crops. *Horticulture Technology* 8 (4): 550-555.

Comment [NRM22]: Use more recent reference

Waluniba, Ao MA (2014). Seasonal Incidence of Insect-pests in Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculantum* M.) on Different Planting Dates and its Correlation with Abiotic Factors. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management* 5 (2): 280-284. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-4038.2014.00568.5>.

~~Weston LA (1988). Effect of flat cell size, transplant age and production site on growth and yield of pepper transplants. *Horticulture Science* 23 (4): 709-711.~~

Young AJ, Lowe GL (2018). Carotenoids—Antioxidant Properties. *Antioxidants* 7 (2): 28. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox7020028>.

Table 1. Monthly weather data of tomato growing period.

| Month | Rainfall | Max. tem. | Min. tem. | Max. RH (%) | Min RH (%) |
|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| November, 2020 | 3 | 28.9 | 18.5 | 80 | 30 |
| December, 2020 | 23 | 27.06 | 14.53 | 96.33 | 57.66 |
| January, 2021 | 26 | 26.82 | 14.94 | 95.4 | 50 |
| February, 2021 | 11 | 29.13 | 16.36 | 94.66 | 30.33 |
| March, 2021 | 6 | 32.62 | 20.67 | 94.75 | 41.75 |
| April, 2021 | 87 | 33.77 | 24.07 | 93.25 | 45.75 |

Table 2. Effect of planting time and variety on tomato production and yield components.

| Varieties | Plant heights at 1 st harvest | | Days to 50% flowering | | No. of fruit clusters per plant | | No. of fruits/plant | | Fruit yield/plant (kg) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 1 st Time (Dec) | 2 nd time (Jan) | 1 st time (Dec) | 2 nd time (Jan) | 1 st time (Dec) | 2 nd time (Jan) | 1 st time (Dec) | 2 nd time (Jan) | 1 st time (Dec) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| BARI tomato 2 | 64.67 | 52.03 | 34.33 | 25.00 | 9.84 | 3.12 | 33.53 | 12.28 | 39.75 |
| BARI tomato 3 | 70.37 | 48.27 | 37.00 | 25.33 | 10.27 | 2.68 | 46.87 | 14.02 | 46.33 |
| BARI tomato 14 | 111.47 | 91.07 | 38.67 | 26.33 | 10.47 | 2.68 | 43.22 | 13.97 | 48.26 |
| BARI tomato 15 | 74.27 | 63.80 | 34.33 | 27.00 | 10.67 | 2.67 | 36.23 | 11.46 | 41.11 |
| Marglobe | 73.77 | 56.90 | 43.33 | 37.80 | 7.00 | 4.27 | 13.00 | 7.26 | 15.16 |
| Roma VF | 46.15 | 41.01 | 50.67 | 41.94 | 7.40 | 5.93 | 19.00 | 10.44 | 16.40 |
| Riograndi | 51.84 | 42.90 | 35.00 | 34.39 | 12.15 | 6.00 | 30.33 | 10.77 | 10.77 |
| Khatabegun | 118.40 | 108.84 | 48.33 | 43.69 | 6.24 | 6.30 | 27.33 | 14.17 | 13.28 |
| LSD ($p \leq 0.05$) | V=3.86 SD=5.10 V x SD=5.46 | V=2.98 SD=3.95 V x SD=4.21 | V=0.76 SD=0.95 V x SD=1.07 | V=2.73 SD=1.47 V x SD=3.86 | V=2.65 SD=3.98 V x SD=3.86 | | | | |

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted ... [1]

Formatted ... [2]

Formatted ... [3]

Formatted ... [4]

Formatted ... [5]

Comment [NRM23]: A graph would look better for this presentation

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted ... [9]

Formatted ... [10]

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted ... [6]

Formatted ... [7]

Formatted ... [8]

Comment [NRM24]: Convert this into ton ha⁻¹

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted ... [11]

Formatted ... [12]

Formatted ... [15]

Formatted ... [17]

Formatted ... [19]

Formatted ... [21]

Formatted ... [23]

Formatted ... [25]

Formatted ... [27]

Formatted ... [29]

Formatted ... [31]

Formatted ... [13]

Formatted ... [14]

Formatted ... [16]

Formatted ... [18]

Formatted ... [20]

Formatted ... [22]

Formatted ... [24]

Formatted ... [26]

Formatted ... [28]

Formatted ... [30]

Formatted ... [32]

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Table 3. Effect of planting time and variety on tomato production and yield components.

| Varieties | Individual fruit wt. (gm) | | Fruit length (cm) | | Fruit breadth girth (cm) | | Total yield (t/ha) | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 st time | 2 nd time | 1 st time | 2 nd time | 1 st time | 2 nd time | 1 st time | 2 nd time |
| | (Dec) | (Jan) | (Dec) | (Jan) | (Dec) | (Jan) | (Dec) | (Jan) |
| BARI tomato 2 | 120.85 | 67.87 | 5.81 | 4.72 | 6.17 | 4.44 | 31.01 | 8.4 |
| BARI tomato 3 | 92.80 | 63.87 | 5.60 | 4.23 | 5.18 | 4.47 | 38.16 | 9.1 |
| BARI tomato14 | 94.65 | 63.00 | 6.10 | 5.26 | 4.99 | 5.86 | 38.55 | 8.8 |
| BARI tomato 15 | 85.53 | 67.83 | 5.82 | 4.06 | 5.10 | 4.64 | 33.42 | 12 |
| Marglobe | 168.54 | 97.29 | 6.30 | 5.87 | 5.59 | 4.74 | 16.24 | 9.9 |
| Roma VF | 84.96 | 66.37 | 5.97 | 5.48 | 5.01 | 4.64 | 13.94 | 9.9 |
| Rograndi | 80.25 | 77.48 | 5.67 | 5.44 | 4.49 | 5.40 | 9.97 | 7.7 |
| Khattabegun | 70.49 | 63.53 | 3.94 | 3.91 | 2.46 | 2.88 | 10.23 | 6.1 |
| LSD ($p \leq 0.05$) | V=8.61 SD=3.71 V x SD=12.17 | | V=0.55 SD=NS V x SD=0.78 | | V=0.48 SD=NS V x SD=0.67 | | V=2.81 SD=3.07 V x SD=9.9 | |

Table 4. Diseases and insect infestation as influenced planting time and varieties.

| Varieties | Bacterial wilt/plot (%) | | Early blight (%) | | Fruit borer (%) | | Virus (%) | |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 1 st time | 2 nd time | 1 st time | 2 nd time | 1 st time | 2 nd time | 1 st time | 2 nd time |
| | (Dec) | (Jan) | (Dec) | (Jan) | (Dec) | (Jan) | (Dec) | (Jan) |
| BARI tomato 2 | 4.20 | 1.76 | 1.53 | 1.12 | 2.15 | 1.39 | 2.71 | 1.12 |
| | (19.8) | (3.3) | (2.2) | (1.1) | (5.3) | (1.7) | (7.3) | (1.1) |
| BARI tomato 3 | 1.6 | 1.68 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 1.86 | 2.23 | 1.75 | 0.71 |
| | (4.2) | (4.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (3.0) | (4.8) | (3.2) | (0.0) |
| BARI tomato14 | 2.51 | 2.00 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.94 | 1.86 | 1.12 | 0.71 |
| | (7.5) | (4.3) | (2.2) | (2.2) | (4.0) | (3.7) | (1.1) | (0.0) |

- Formatted ... [33]
- Formatted ... [37]
- Formatted ... [39]
- Formatted Table ... [34]
- Formatted ... [35]
- Formatted ... [36]
- Formatted ... [38]
- Formatted ... [40]
- Formatted ... [42]
- Formatted ... [44]
- Formatted ... [46]
- Formatted ... [48]
- Formatted ... [50]
- Formatted ... [52]
- Formatted ... [54]
- Formatted ... [41]
- Formatted ... [43]
- Formatted ... [45]
- Formatted ... [47]
- Formatted ... [49]
- Formatted ... [51]
- Formatted ... [53]
- Formatted ... [55]
- Formatted ... [56]
- Formatted Table ... [57]
- Formatted ... [58]
- Formatted ... [59]
- Formatted ... [60]
- Formatted ... [61]
- Formatted ... [62]
- Formatted ... [63]
- Formatted ... [65]
- Formatted ... [67]
- Formatted ... [69]
- Formatted ... [71]
- Formatted ... [73]
- Formatted ... [75]
- Formatted ... [77]
- Formatted ... [64]
- Formatted ... [66]
- Formatted ... [68]
- Formatted ... [70]
- Formatted ... [72]
- Formatted ... [74]
- Formatted ... [76]
- Formatted ... [78]
- Formatted ... [79]
- Formatted ... [80]
- Formatted ... [81]
- Formatted ... [82]
- Formatted ... [83]
- Formatted ... [84]
- Formatted ... [85]
- Formatted ... [86]
- Formatted ... [87]
- Formatted ... [88]
- Formatted ... [89]
- Formatted ... [91]
- Formatted ... [92]

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| BARI tomato 15 | 3.71 (13.5) | 2.00 (4.3) | 0.71 (0.0) | 0.71 (0.0) | 1.39 (1.7) | 1.18 (1.3) | 1.12 (1.1) | 1.53 (2.2) |
| Marglobe | 5.44 (31.1) | 4.80 (23.9) | 0.71 (0.0) | 2.30 (6.3) | 1.48 (2.0) | 1.10 (1.0) | 3.27 (10.4) | 2.64 (8.3) |
| Roma VF | 4.83 (22.9) | 5.47 (31.2) | 2.41 (5.4) | 3.61 (12.5) | 1.25 (1.7) | 1.48 (2.0) | 1.34 (6.3) | 1.67 (6.3) |
| Riograndi | 6.9 (47.8) | 5.48 (31.3) | 1.53 (2.6) | 4.13 (16.7) | 1.71 (3.0) | 1.93 (3.3) | 1.97 (6.3) | 2.89 (15.5) |
| Khattabegun | 1.34 (2.1) | 1.12 (1.08) | 0.71 (0.0) | 0.71 (0.0) | 0.71 (0.0) | 0.71 (0.0) | 1.51 (3.1) | 2.90 (10.4) |
| LSD ($p \leq 0.05$) | V=1.62 | V=0.68 | V=NS | V=NS | V=NS | V=NS | V=NS | V=NS |
| | SD=0.85 | SD=NS | SD=NS | SD=NS | SD=NS | SD=NS | SD=NS | SD=NS |
| | V x SD=NS | V x SD=0.96 | V x SD=NS | V x SD=NS | V x SD=NS | V x SD=NS | V x SD=NS | V x SD=NS |

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- Formatted ... [107]
- Formatted ... [108]
- Formatted ... [109]
- Formatted ... [110]
- Formatted ... [111]
- Formatted ... [112]
- Formatted ... [113]
- Formatted ... [114]
- Formatted ... [115]
- Formatted ... [116]
- Formatted ... [117]
- Formatted ... [118]
- Formatted ... [119]
- Formatted ... [120]
- Formatted ... [121]
- Formatted ... [122]
- Formatted ... [123]
- Formatted ... [124]
- Formatted ... [125]
- Formatted ... [126]
- Formatted ... [127]
- Formatted ... [128]
- Formatted ... [129]
- Formatted ... [130]
- Formatted ... [131]
- Formatted ... [132]
- Formatted ... [133]
- Formatted ... [134]
- Formatted ... [135]
- Formatted ... [136]
- Formatted ... [137]
- Formatted ... [138]
- Formatted ... [139]
- Formatted ... [140]
- Formatted ... [141]
- Formatted ... [142]
- Formatted ... [143]
- Formatted ... [144]
- Formatted ... [145]
- Formatted ... [146]
- Formatted ... [147]
- Formatted ... [148]
- Formatted ... [149]
- Formatted ... [150]
- Formatted ... [151]
- Formatted ... [152]
- Formatted ... [153]
- Formatted ... [157]
- Formatted ... [160]
- Formatted ... [164]
- Formatted ... [154]
- Formatted ... [158]
- Formatted ... [161]
- Formatted ... [165]
- Comment [NRM25]: What does figure ... [167]
- Formatted ... [155]
- Formatted ... [159]
- Formatted ... [162]
- Formatted ... [166]

12/22/2022 10:37:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:37:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:40:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:46:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:43:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:50:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 10:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

12/22/2022 9:49:00 PM

