

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_95068
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of planting time and variety on the growth, development, and yield of Tomato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Comment [S1]: Rather than time, it is better to say month/datesOn Vegetative growth, Yield and Yield Components. How to measure development? Development is included in growth. Thus the title needs modification.

Comment [S2]: Scientific name

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound and important to share information regarding Tomato planting time/months and varieties. However, it lacks detail review of literature and discussion supported with recent updated references. The title should be self explanatory, thus needs modifications Yes but the abstract should include the objectives of the study, MM, result and discussion and finally the short and precise conclusion & recommendations of the study! Of course good but needs more elaborations. The variables under materials & methods should be well described and the standard units should be indicated. Yes, it is prepared accordingly but up to the author to strictly follow the guideline for the authors. Not enough! The authors should use recently published pre-reviewed Journals of at least less than 10 years. Therefore, the result & discussion part needs detail re-write up using updated references both supportive or objections.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used to write the manuscript is good. I recommended that if language editors look it, it may add more values	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is timely for and informative especially for smallholder Tomato producers and if the suggestion & comments will be considered and improved the quality of the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abebe Chindi Degebasa
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holetta Agricultural Research Centre, Ethiopia