

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95327
Title of the Manuscript:	Banana Supply Chain: A Case Study of Vadodara City of Gujarat
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Articles have scientific contributions that can be developed in the future for sustainability. This is contained in the abstract section: The growing interest in Agricultural Supply Chain Management (ASCM) can be attributed to two main factors: agricultural industrialization and the uncertainty brought on by differences in product quality and safety 2. The title of the article does not reflect the content. Suggestion: Analysis of financing in the banana supply chain in..... 3. Need to add research objectives to the abstract 4. The arrangement of the article is appropriate 5. Scientifically, this article has met the rules. However, an explanation of the research methodology used needs to be added. 6. References are too simple, need to add references related to financing in the supply chain produced in the last 5 years.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improvements are needed regarding the redaction of the sentences used	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hana Catur Wahyuni
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo, Indonesia