

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95293
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Agrochemicals Industry in SPSR Nellore District of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes the manuscript important from the regional perspective . As In Indian continent the climate has impact on the pattern of the crops and some time land fertility is subject to the climate in this situation a study on the chemicals meant for agricultural is really important.</p> <p>Yes the Title is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, it is written in comprehensive manner.</p> <p>Yes it is upto marks as it is short article not a full length research paper</p> <p>Yes the statistical tools are used in an appropriate manner that justifies the result</p> <p>Yes</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No problem found in the language and sentence structure.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Poonam Rani
Department, University & Country	Mittal School of Business, Lovely Professional University, India