

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95291
Title of the Manuscript:	Okra Supply Chain: A Case Study of Vadodara City of Gujarat
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is important, especially as information for okra farmers and traders, to increase knowledge about okra distribution channels.</li> <li>2. In my opinion, the proper title is "Okra distribution channel : A case Study of Vadodara City of Gujarat. Because the paper does not discuss how much and how okra production can meet the channel to meet the needs of the end consumer on time</li> <li>3. The abstract is comprehensive, only the conclusions need to be adjusted</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</li> <li>5. Still not enough, discussion needs to be added relating to okra producers (farmers) so that this raw material continues to exist, in meeting marketing needs</li> <li>6. References need to be added, especially related to: 1).How is the physiological nature of okra so that it should be channeled immediately , 2).How is the shelf life of okra during marketing so that it requires additional costs, 3). Other researchers' opinions relate to this study</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, this research is good, can provide information related to okra marketing channels. Several things need to be improved, among others related to the title that needs to be adjusted, okra physiology, related to post-harvest and shelf life during marketing. Then, the conclusions are still not in accordance with the objectives, therefore it needs to be adjusted. It is also necessary to add supporting references, as well as opinions from other studies.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><b>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Nataliningsih
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	University of Winaya Mukti, Indonesia